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## OBJECTIVE

To survey waterfowl (duck, goose, and coot) hunters annually to determine their activities, harvest, characteristics, attitudes, and opinions.


#### Abstract

A total of $1,880(38 \%$ response rate) Illinois waterfowl hunters returned usable questionnaires to the 2021-22 Illinois Waterfowl Hunter Survey. An estimated 42,839 adult waterfowl hunters spent $\geq 1$ day afield during 2021-22, an increase of $8.4 \%$ from 39,525 hunters during the 2020-21 seasons. Waterfowl hunters spent 1,000,494 days afield, an increase of $19.4 \%$ from the 837,609 days devoted during the 2020-21 license year. Total estimated waterfowl harvest increased $33.9 \%$, from 406,259 during 2020-21 seasons to 543,858 during 2021-22. Duck harvest estimates for the regular duck season were as follows: 175,565 mallards (Anas platyrhynchos), 42,851 wood ducks (Aix sponsa), and 138,017 other ducks. A total of 28,913 teal (Spatula discors and Anas crecca) were harvested during the September teal season. Goose hunters harvested 99,276 Canada geese (Branta canadensis) during the regular Canada goose season, a $5.0 \%$ increase from the 94,510 Canada geese harvested during the 2020-21 regular goose season. Hunters harvested 22,258 Canada geese during the September Canada goose season, a $32.4 \%$ increase from the previous year. During the Youth Waterfowl Hunting Season, 5,410 adults took 6,859 youths waterfowl hunting, a 7.6\% increase in adult participation and a $1.3 \%$ decrease in youth participation from the 2020-21 Youth Waterfowl Hunting Season. Hunter preferences for seasons and satisfaction with the waterfowl seasons are also discussed.


## METHODS

## Mailings

A random sample of 5,000 waterfowl hunters was drawn from the population of Illinois State Waterfowl Stamp purchasers from the 2021-22 license year. On 13 June 2022, hunters were mailed an 8-page questionnaire (Appendix A), cover letter (Appendix B), and a postage-paid return envelope. The effective sample was reduced from 5,000 questionnaires to 4,897 due to 103 being returned as undeliverable. A thank you/reminder postcard
(Appendix C) was sent to hunters on 11 July 2022. Non-respondents were mailed a second questionnaire and cover letter (Appendix D) on 1 August 2022, followed by a second postcard mailing on 31 August 2022. A third and final questionnaire and cover letter (Appendix E) were mailed to non-respondents on 30 September 2022, followed by a third postcard on 2 November 2022. Coded data were entered and analyzed using SPSS 28.0 (SPSS Inc. 2021). Confidence intervals are presented where appropriate.

## Statewide Estimates

Estimates of number of hunters, days afield, and waterfowl harvested were based on confirmed sales of 54,044 adult resident Illinois Migratory Waterfowl stamps (2020-21 series) and were computed following the procedures outlined below (Anderson et al. 1998), with slight modification. The correction factors for multiple stamp buyers $\left(A_{1}\right)$ and stamp exempt hunters $\left(A_{2}\right)$ were removed beginning with the estimates of 2016-17 (Williams et al. 2018). The original formulas are presented for understanding how previous year's estimates were created.

The total number of active waterfowl hunters was estimated using the number of Illinois Migratory Waterfowl Stamps sold. The number of teal, duck, and goose hunters (Huntsp), days afield (Days ${ }_{s p}$ ), birds crippled but not retrieved $\left(\right.$ Crip $\left._{s p}\right)$ and harvest $\left(\right.$ Harv $\left._{s p}\right)$ were calculated as follows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Hunt }_{s p}=\text { Hunt }_{r} \frac{L_{t}}{n} \\
& \text { Days }_{s p}=\text { Days }_{r} \frac{L_{t}}{n} \\
& \text { Crip }_{s p}=\operatorname{Crip}_{r} \frac{L_{t}}{n} \\
& \text { Harv }_{s p}=\operatorname{Harv}_{r} \frac{L_{t} A}{n}
\end{aligned}
$$

Where:
Hunt $t_{r}=$ number of respondents to the 2021-22 Illinois Waterfowl Hunter Survey who reported hunting for each species (adjustment for non-hunters is inherent),
$L_{t}=$ total number of Illinois migratory Waterfowl Stamps sold in 2021-22,
$n=$ number of respondents to 2021-22 Illinois Waterfowl Hunter Survey who purchased a stamp,
Days $_{r}=$ total number of days spent hunting reported by respondents,
Crip $_{r}=$ total number of birds crippled but not retrieved reported by respondents,

Harv $v_{r}=$ total harvest of each species reported by respondents,
$A_{3}=$ fixed reduction factor for reporting bias ( 0.501 for teal, ducks, and coots; Anderson 1985; 0.478 for geese;

Anderson et al. 1996, Miller et al. 2018).
Confidence intervals for the estimated numbers of ducks, coots, and geese harvested were calculated by:

$$
95 \% C I\left[\operatorname{Har}_{s p}\right]= \pm 2 L_{t}\left(\frac{s}{\sqrt{n}}\right)\left(\frac{L_{t}-n}{L_{t}}\right)
$$

Where $s=$ standard deviation of total species harvest reported by respondents.

## SEASON LENGTHS AND BAG LIMITS

Illinois incorporated a fourth waterfowl zone in 2011-12 for the regular waterfowl seasons and 2012-13 for the September goose season. The four zones are the North, Central, South Central, and South zones (Appendix G). The early (September) teal (Spatula discors and Anas crecca) season length was 16 days with a daily bag of 6 teal. Early (September) Canada goose (Branta canadensis) season length and start date (1 September) were the same for all four zones. Hunters could hunt for 15 days statewide and could harvest 5 geese a day in the North and Central zones and 2 birds per day in the South Central and South zones. Length and daily bag limit of the regular duck season did not change (60-day season/6-bird daily bag limit) during 2021-22. Basic daily bag limits were 6 ducks, 5 mergansers, and 15 coots; individual species limits are in Appendix H . Regular Canada goose season length in the South Central and South zone was 80 days and 66 days,
respectively. Daily bag limit was 3 for Canada geese, 2 for White-fronted geese (Anser albifrons), and 1 for Brant geese (Branta bernicla). All species listed above had a possession limit of 3 times the daily bag limit. Light geese (Anser caerulescens and Anser rossii) had a daily limit of 20 and no possession limit.

## RESULTS

## Waterfowl Harvest and Days Afield

We received 1,880 usable questionnaires (i.e., respondent completed at least a portion of the questionnaire), for an overall response rate of $38 \%$. Ninety-seven percent of respondents indicated they had purchased an Illinois State Waterfowl Stamp for the 2021-22 season (Figure 1) and 1,449 (79.3\%) of license purchasers reported hunting 1 day or more for waterfowl (Figure 2). Only $14.2 \%$ of respondents have ever purchased an Illinois State Waterfowl Stamp without intending to hunt during the waterfowl season in Illinois (Figure 3). Forty percent of respondents (39.7\%) who purchased a waterfowl stamp without intending to hunt do so every year, $15.4 \%$ purchase stamps most years, $21.7 \%$ purchase stamps on occasional years, and $23.2 \%$ rarely purchase stamps for this purpose (Figure 4). The most common reasons for purchasing an Illinois State Waterfowl Stamp without intending to hunt were: (1) support wildlife conservation (76.4\%), (2) support wetland and grassland conservation (61.8\%), (3) support birding, nature photography and other recreation (18.7\%), (4) collect waterfowl stamps (18.7\%), and (5) other reasons (12.7\%; Figure 5).


Figure 1. Percentage of hunters who purchased an Illinois State Waterfowl Stamp for the 2021-22 seasons ( $n=1,880$ ).


Figure 3. Percentage of hunters who purchased an Illinois State Waterfowl Stamp without intending to hunt during waterfowl season $(n=1,879)$


Figure 2. Percentage of license purchasers who hunted waterfowl (ducks, geese, or coots) in Illinois during 2021-22 season ( $n=1,828$ ).


Figure 4. Frequency of purchasing an Illinois State Waterfowl Stamp without intending to hunt during the waterfowl season in Illinois $(n=267)$.


Figure 5. Reason for purchasing an Illinois State Waterfowl Stamp without intending to hunt during the waterfowl season ( $n=267$ ).

The number of active waterfowl hunters (hunted $\geq 1$ day(s) during waterfowl season) increased from 39,525 during the 2020-21 season to 42,839 during the 2021-22 season, an $8.4 \%$ increase in the number of hunters (Figure 6, Table 1). Hunters reported spending 1,000,494 days afield, an increase of $19.4 \%$ from the 837,609 days devoted during the 2020-21 license year. Total waterfowl harvest increased 33.9\%, from 406,259 during 2020-21 to 543,858 during the 2021-22 season (Table 1). Thirty percent of hunters targeted ducks only, $10.2 \%$ targeted geese only, and $59.9 \%$ targeted both ducks and geese (Figure 7, Table 2).


Figure 6. Number of stamps sold, waterfowl hunters, and waterfowl harvested in Illinois, 2002-2021.


Figure 7. Percentage of hunters who hunted ducks, geese, or both during the 2021-22 Illinois waterfowl season.

## September Teal Season

The number of early (September) teal season hunters increased from 7,975 during 2020 to 11,382 during 2021 (Figure 8, Table 3). Days afield increased 80.9\% from 27,962 during 2020 to 50,585 during 2021. Increased days afield coincided with an increased teal harvest of $28,913 \pm 10,142$ during the 2021 September teal season, a $52.4 \%$ increase from the 2020 harvest $(18,967)$. The Central zone accounted for over one-half of teal hunters (53.2\%), teal harvested (52.7\%), and teal hunter days afield (58.6\%; Table 4). The North zone recorded the second-most days afield, hunters, and total teal harvested. September season teal hunters averaged 4.44 days afield statewide, and harvested an average of 0.57 teal per hunter per day and 2.54 teal per hunter for the season (Figure 9, Table 5).


Figure 8. Number of teal harvested and hunter activity during the Illinois September teal season, 2002-2021.


Figure 9. Rates of teal harvest and hunter activity during the Illinois September teal season, 2002-2021.

## Youth Waterfowl Hunting

The Youth Waterfowl Season dates changed in all 4 zones; number of days to hunt remained unchanged between the 2020 and 2021 seasons. Youth aged 17 and under were able to hunt ducks, geese, and coots for two days one week prior to opening of the regular duck season in the North, Central, and South Central zones, but
two weeks earlier in the South zone. Eighteen percent (17.6\%) of those who purchased an Illinois waterfowl stamp took a youth hunting during the Youth Waterfowl Hunting Days (Figure 10). The number of adults who participated in the 2021 youth hunt increased $7.6 \%$ from 5,029 to 5,410 participants and the number of youth participants decreased $1.3 \%$ from 6,950 during 2020 to 6,859 youths during the 2021 youth hunt (Table 6). Forty-one percent (41.4\%) of hunting groups who participated in the 2021 youth waterfowl season had at least one youth who had never hunted ducks or geese before (Figure 11), and $18.2 \%$ of hunters indicated this was their first time accompanying a youth during the hunt (Figure 12). Forty-eight percent of respondents (47.6\%) take a youth hunting each year during the youth waterfowl season, $30.0 \%$ take a youth hunting most but not every year, and $22.4 \%$ occasionally take a youth hunting. Harvest of ducks during the youth season increased $25.9 \%$, from 7,799 during 2020 to 9,820 during 2021, and geese increased $55.5 \%$ from 1,118 to 1,738 from the 2020 to 2021 seasons.


Figure 10. Percentage of hunters* who took a youth (17 years old or younger) hunting during the 2021 Youth Waterfowl Hunting Days ( $n=1,088$ ). *Cases selected for those who indicated they purchased a stamp for the 2021-22 waterfowl seasons.


Figure 11. Percentage of hunters* who took at least one youth ( 17 years old or younger) hunting for the first time ( $n=191$ ).
*Cases selected for those who indicated they took a youth hunting during the 2021 Youth Waterfowl Hunting Days.


Figure 12. Percentage of hunters* for whom it was their first time taking a youth ( 17 years old or younger) hunting ( $n=192$ ).
*Cases selected for those who indicated they took a youth hunting during the 2021 Youth Waterfowl Hunting Days.

## Regular Duck Season

Number of duck hunters increased by 2,967 (8.6\%) from 34,432 during the 2020-21 season to 37,399 in the 2021-22 season (Table 7). Duck hunters spent 532,635 days afield ( $\bar{X}=14.24$ ) during the 2021-22 season, an increase of $15.2 \%$ from the 462,541 days reported during the 2020-21 season. Almost half of respondents $(47.7 \%)$ hunted waterfowl in the Central zone most often, followed by the North, South Central, and South zones (Figure 13).

Total duck harvest during 2021-22 was 360,698, an increase of $41.6 \%$ from the duck harvest reported for 2020-21 (254,680; Table 7). Mallards (Anas platyrhynchos) comprised $48.7 \%$ of the total regular season duck harvest, whereas wood ducks (Aix sponsa) and other ducks accounted for $11.9 \%$ and $39.4 \%$, respectively (Figure 14). Statewide mallard harvest in Illinois increased by 48,040 birds (37.7\%) from 127,525 during the 2020-21 season to 175,565 during the 2021-22 season (Figure 15, Table 7). Wood duck harvest increased ( $21.3 \%$ ) from 35,335 ducks during 2020-21 to 42,851 during 2021-22. The harvest of other ducks increased 50,463 (55.0\%) from 91,820 during 2020-21 to 142,283 during 2021-22, and statewide coot (Fulica americana) harvest increased from 1,701 during 2020-21 to 3,688 coots during 2021-22.


Figure 13. Zones hunters* hunted in most often during the 2021-22 waterfowl seasons ( $n=1,530$ ).
*Cases selected for those who indicated they hunted for at least one day during the 2021-22 waterfowl seasons.


Figure 14. Proportion of mallards, wood ducks, \& other ducks harvested during the 2021-22 regular duck season. Proportions are by mallard, wood duck, and other ducks due to how hunters are asked to report their harvest. This order (mallard,wood duck, and other ducks) is not necessarily the order of the most-often harvested ducks in Illinois.


Figure 15. Illinois regular duck season harvest, 2002-2021.

The 2021-22 duck harvest is presented by waterfowl zones in Table 8. Across the four waterfowl zones, the greatest number of hunters, days afield, and ducks harvested occurred in the Central zone. The South zone had the highest daily success rate (harvest/hunter/day) at 0.85 ducks per day and the Central zone had the highest season success rate (harvest/hunter/season) at 10.39 ducks per season. Duck hunter daily success statewide increased from 0.55 ducks/day for the 2020-21 season to 0.68 ducks/day during 2021-22 and hunter season success increased from 7.40 ducks/hunter/season for the 2020-21 season to 9.64 during 2021-22 (Table 9). Of duck hunters who reported hunting $\geq 1$ day ( $n=1,265$ ), $33.6 \%$ hunted 5 days or less; $15.9 \%$ of duck hunters reported not harvesting any ducks, whereas $20.7 \%$ harvested more than 30 ducks (Figure 16, Table 10).


Figure 16. Distribution of days afield per hunter and ducks harvested per hunter for Illinois' during the 2021-22 regular duck season.

## Early September Goose Season

An estimated 11,855 hunters participated in the early (September) Canada goose season in Illinois during the 2021 season, an increase of $30.8 \%$ from the 9,064 who participated during 2020 (Figure 17,

Table 11). Statewide, early goose season hunters spent 50,585 days afield in 2021, $47.6 \%$ more than 2020 $(34,272)$, and harvested approximately 22,258 Canada geese, an increase from the $2020(16,811)$ harvest by $32.4 \%$. The Central zone accounted for the most hunters (50.4\%), days afield (50.0\%) and harvested Canada geese (51.7\%; Figure 18, Table 11).


Figure 17. Early September Canada goose harvest and hunter activity, 2002-2021.


Figure 18. Early September Canada goose harvest and hunter activity by zone in Illinois during 2021.

## Regular Goose Season

Canada goose harvest during the 2021-22 regular goose season increased 5.0\% from 2020-21 (Table 12, Figure 19). An estimated 29,180 hunters spent 366,689 days afield and harvested 99,276 Canada geese during 2021-22. Number of goose hunters in Illinois increased 12.2\% during 2021-22 compared to 2020-21, and number of days afield increased $17.2 \%$. Hunters also harvested 17,467 other geese, of which 8,705 were light geese (snow, blue or Ross' geese) (Anser caerulescens and Anser rossii) and 8,762 were White-fronted (speckle-bellied) geese (Anser albifrons), for a total combined harvest of 116,743 geese (Table 12, Figure 20).


Figure 19. Goose harvest during Illinois' regular goose season from 2002-2021.


Figure 20. 2021-22 Illinois' regular goose season harvest.

Goose hunters reported a mean of 12.57 days afield and mean harvest of 4.00 geese per season and 0.32 geese per hunter per day; $47.0 \%$ of goose hunters harvested $\geq 5$ geese (Figure 21, Tables 13 and 14). The Central zone led the state in the number of goose hunters (48.9\%) and days afield ( $48.5 \%$; Table 14 ). The Central zone harvested the most Canada geese (47.9\%), White-fronted (71.3\%) and light geese (48.4\%; Table 14). Harvest of Canada geese is summarized by zone and year (2019-20 through 2021-22) in Table 15.


Figure 21. Distribution of days afield per hunter and geese harvested per hunter for Illinois' 2021-22 regular goose season.

## Crippling Losses

Crippling losses (birds downed but not retrieved) during the 2021-22 regular season were estimated at 44,731 ducks and 6,859 geese (Table 16). These estimates, considered to be indices because they contain information about the relative number and are not actual number or abundance estimates, equate to 12.4 ducks and 5.9 geese lost per 100 harvested.

## Public and Private Land Use

Over forty percent (42.6\%) of hunters hunted on Illinois public lands during 2021-22 (Figure 22). Among those who used public lands, sites in the Central zone were most popular (Figure 23). Over half (51.1\%) of hunters who used public lands had $\geq 1$ hunt in a blind awarded through a lottery (Figure 24).


Figure 22. Percentage of hunters* who hunted on Illinois state public lands during 2021-22 ( $n=1,446$ )
*Cases selected for those who indicated they hunted for at least 1 day during 2021-22.


Figure 23. Zone in which public land hunted was located $(n=652)$.


Figure 24. Percentage of waterfowl hunters whose public land use was in a blind awarded by lottery $(n=652)$.

## Satisfaction with Season Timing and Zone Configuration

As a condition of implementing a four-zone structure, Illinois was required to collect information on hunter satisfaction in areas of the state impacted by waterfowl zone changes. The former South zone was divided into two zones with a goal of providing preferred season dates to most hunters in the South Central and South zones. Central zone duck hunters harvested the highest average number of ducks per hunter per season $(\bar{X}=10.39)$, an average of 1.06 more than South Central zone hunters and 1.34 ducks per hunter per season more than hunters in the South zone, and 4.60 ducks per hunter per season more than hunters in the North zone. South zone duck hunters had the highest level of satisfaction for all aspects of the most recent duck season(s) in Illinois (Table 17). South Central zone hunters were the least satisfied zone with all aspects, except "amount of time you spent duck hunting."

The most important factors contributing to respondents' waterfowl hunting experience in Illinois were:
(1) seeing ducks in the field, (2) bagging $\geq 1$ duck during a day in the field, (3) bagging mallards, and (4) bagging drakes (Table 18). The least important factors were: (1) bagging diving ducks, (2) bagging my daily limit, and (3) bagging a lot of ducks over the season. Satisfaction was low for each aspect of respondents' most recent waterfowl hunting season in Illinois, though respondents were most satisfied with: (1) bagging drakes, (2) bagging $\geq 1$ duck during a day in the field, (3) bagging mallards, and (4) seeing ducks in the field (Table 19).

Respondents were least satisfied with: (1) bagging my daily limit, (2) bagging a lot of ducks over the season,
(3) bagging diving ducks, and (4) bagging teal and wood ducks.

When asked about season timing, hunters' opinions varied between regions with most hunters in the South Central zone indicating that the 2021-22 regular duck season was "too early" (57.7\%) and South zone hunters believing the season timing was "about right" (52.3\%) (Figure 25, Table 20). Most teal hunters and September goose hunters in each zone reported those seasons were timed "about right." At least $50 \%$ of goose hunters in the North, Central, and South zones reported timing for the 2021-22 regular goose season was "about right" $(64.1 \%, 53.9 \%$, and $62.7 \%$, respectively). The most important considerations to respondents when deciding on their preferred 2021-22 duck season dates for the zone that they intend to hunt most often during the 2021-22 duck season were: (1) peak of duck migration (39.2\%), (2) timing of mallard migration (24.2\%), and (3) maximize number of January hunting days (10.7\%).

Respondents' most preferred zone options for the duck season in Illinois for 2026 through 2030 were: (1) no preference (38.6\%), (2) three duck zones with 2 season segments (2-way split) in one, two, or all zones (22.9\%), and (3) two zones with one or two splits and up to 3 season segments in each zone ( $9.6 \%$; Figure 26). Respondents in the South Central zone most preferred having three duck zones with 2 season segments (2-way split) in one, two, or all zones ( $39.7 \%$ ), though respondents in the North, Central, and South zones most frequently had no preference $(40.7 \%, 35.7 \%$, and $28.7 \%$, respectively) and their second preferred zone option was three duck zones with 2 season segments ( $19.8 \%, 24.6 \%$, and $26.1 \%$, respectively; Table 21 ). If a single split season were used for the duck season in Illinois for 2026 through 2030, then respondents' preferred splits were: (1) open and close later using a split to extend season (Option F; 34.6\%), (2) open at the current time but close later using a split to give ducks a rest and provide a "second opening day" (Option B; 20.7\%), and (3) open earlier and close later using a large split to extend season (Option A; 19.8\%) (Figure 27). If a single split season were used, then respondents in each zone most frequently preferred to open and close later using a split to extend the season (North: $25.1 \%$, Central: $35.9 \%$, South Central: $44.3 \%$, South: $42.6 \%$; Table 22 ). If a three duck zones configuration were used for 2026 through 2030, then respondents in the Central, South Central, and South zones most frequently preferred to combine the South Central and South zones, and no changes to North to combine the North and Central zones, and no changes to South Central and South zones (43.6\%; Table 23, Figure 28).


Figure 25. Duck and goose hunter* opinions about the timing of the 2021-22 waterfowl seasons.
*Cases selected for those who hunted for at least one day in the corresponding season zone.


Figure 26. Respondents' preferred zoning option for Illinois for the period of 2026 through $2030(n=1,848)$.


Figure 27. Preferred splits* for the duck hunting season in Illinois for zone hunted most often by respondent ( $n=1,845$ ).
*Option A - Open earlier and close later using a large split to extend season. Option B - Open at the current time but close later using a split to give ducks a rest and provide a "second opening day." Option C - Open earlier and close at the current time using a small split to give ducks a rest and provide a "second opening day." Option D - Use a split to create a short segment well before the current opening day to target early migrants. Option E - Use a split to create short segment well after the current closing date to target late migrants. Option F - Open and close later using a split to extend season.


Figure 28. Respondents' preference for zones to combine if a three duck zone configuration was used in Illinois for the period of 2026 through $2030(n=1,847)$.

## Communication with IDNR

Most respondents (90.7\%) had Internet access at home (Figure 29) with 83.6\% also having high-speed internet access at home (Figure 30). Thirty-nine percent of respondents' (39.4\%) would like reminders to renew their hunting license each year (Figure 31) and the preferred method to receive reminders were: (1) email (73.1\%), (2) text (48.6\%), and (3) social media ( $12.5 \%$; Figure 32). Most respondents ( $57.1 \%$ ) would like reminders about permit due dates (Figure 33) and the preferred method to receive reminders were: (1) email (69.4\%), (2) text ( $47.8 \%$ ), and (3) social media ( $13.1 \%$; Figure 34). Thirty-nine percent of respondents (39.5\%) have missed a permit application deadline because they did not know it was due (Figure 35). Twenty-three percent of respondents ( $22.9 \%$ ) receive announcements from the IDNR in their email (Figure 36) and 31.5\% have signed up for email announcements from the IDNR (Figure 37). Most respondents (62.8\%) would use a free mobile-phone application provided by the IDNR to store an electronic copy of permits and licenses instead of being required to have a paper copy (Figure 38).


Figure 29. Percentage of respondents who have internet access in their home ( $n=1,874$ ).


Figure 30. Percentage of respondents who have high-speed internet access in their home ( $n=1,699$ ).


Figure 31. Whether respondent would like reminders to renew hunting license each year ( $n=1,870$ ).


Figure 33. Percentage of respondent who would like reminders about permit due dates $(n=1,871)$.


Figure 32. Percentage of respondents who have a preference in how they receive reminders ( $n=737$ ).


Figure 34. Percentage of respondents who have a preference on how they are reminded about permit due dates $(n=1,068)$.


Figure 35. Percentage of respondents who have missed a permit application deadline because they did not know it was due ( $n=1,868$ ).


Figure 37. Percentage of respondents who have signed up for announcements from IDNR via email ( $n=1,868$ ).


Figure 36. Percentage of respondents who receive announcements from IDNR via email ( $n=1,873$ ).


Figure 38. Whether respondent would use a free mobile-phone application provided by the IDNR to store an electronic copy of permits and licenses instead of being required to have a paper copy ( $n=1,866$ ).

## Hunter Characteristics

Respondents who hunted waterfowl during the 2021-22 waterfowl season have hunted waterfowl in Illinois for a mean of 27.7 years. These hunters averaged 51.0 years of age and started at the age of 20.0 years of age. The counties with most respondents were Cook, Madison, Will, St. Clair, and McHenry. Females comprised $2.6 \%$ of survey respondents and $2.3 \%$ of those who hunted during the 2021-22 waterfowl hunting seasons (Figure 39). Most respondents (97.8\%) hunted waterfowl in Illinois before this season (Figure 40).

Most respondents (65.9\%) reported they hunt waterfowl every year in Illinois, whereas $1.1 \%$ reported they never hunted waterfowl in Illinois (Figure 41). Over forty percent of respondents (41.7\%) hunted fewer days during the 2021-22 waterfowl seasons compared to the 5 years prior to the 2021-22 seasons. (Figure 42). The main reasons for hunting fewer days were: (1) lack of waterfowl in areas(s) hunted (61.9\%), (2) lack of access to hunting land (25.9\%), (3) change in work schedule/location (19.1\%), and (4) personal health (12.8\%). The main reasons for hunting more days were: (1) increased access to hunting land (40.2\%), (2) change in work schedule/location (39.2\%), (3) more hunting partners available (35.9\%), and (4) other reasons (21.1\%). Less than half of respondents (45.2\%) belong to a waterfowl hunting organization with $19.6 \%$ belonging to a local organization, $12.0 \%$ belonging to a state organization, and $34.5 \%$ belonging to a national organization (Figure 43).


Figure 39. Gender distribution of respondents who hunted* waterfowl during one of the 2021-22 waterfowl seasons ( $n=1,446$ ).
*Cases selected for those who indicated they hunted for at least one day or more during the 2021-22 waterfowl seasons.


Figure 40. Number of hunters* whose first time hunting waterfowl in Illinois was during one of the 2021-22 waterfowl seasons ( $n=1,536$ ).
*Cases selected for those who indicated they hunted at least one day during the 2021-22 waterfowl seasons.


Figure 41. How often respondents hunt waterfowl in Illinois ( $n=1,880$ ).


Figure 42. Comparison between the number of days hunted during the 2021-22 waterfowl season compared to the last 5 years $(n=1,536)$.


Figure 43. Percentage of respondents who belong to waterfowl hunting organizations ( $n=1,880$ ).
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Table 1. Summary of Illinois Migratory Waterfowl Stamps purchased, hunter activity, and waterfowl harvest in Illinois from 2009 through 2021 hunting seasons.

| Season <br> (Year) | Stamps <br> Purchased | Estimated <br> Hunters | Estimated <br> Days Hunted | Estimated <br> Harvest $^{\mathrm{b}}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: | :--- |
| 2009 | 68,549 | 59,987 | $1,222,980$ | 613,335 |
| 2010 | 64,828 | 50,936 | 985,075 | 513,882 |
| 2011 | 66,581 | 52,660 | $1,147,037$ | 577,654 |
| 2012 | 64,896 | 50,740 | $1,155,346$ | 580,557 |
| 2013 | 66,394 | 49,170 | $1,052,728$ | 605,720 |
| 2014 | 70,391 | 50,698 | 982,193 | 550,946 |
| 2015 | 58,247 | 40,104 | 795,289 | 488,321 |
| 2016 | 54,920 | 41,242 | 870,721 | 490,463 |
| 2017 | 52,069 | 37,215 | 732,166 | 421,384 |
| 2018 | 55,303 | 40,047 | 831,043 | 412,402 |
| 2019 | 55,255 | 35,185 | 841,828 | 471,872 |
| 2020 | 52,849 | 39,525 | 837,609 | 406,259 |
| 2021 | 54,044 | 42,839 | $1,000,494$ | 543,858 |

${ }^{a}$ Full listing for harvest 1981-Present can be found in Appendix F.
${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ Teal, ducks, coots, and geese combined, and including September teal and Canada goose seasons and youth hunt.

Table 2. Percentage of waterfowl hunters who hunted exclusively ducks, exclusively geese, or both ducks and geese in Illinois from 2009 through 2021 seasons.

| Season <br> (Year) | Hunted <br> Ducks Only | Hunted <br> Geese Only | Hunted Both <br> Ducks and Geese | Duck <br> Hunters | Goose <br> Hunters |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2009 | $27.5 \%$ | $8.4 \%$ | $64.1 \%$ | $91.6 \%^{\mathrm{b}}$ | $72.5 \%^{\mathrm{b}}$ |
| 2010 | $25.0 \%$ | $13.1 \%$ | $61.9 \%$ | $86.9 \%^{\mathrm{b}}$ | $75.0 \%^{\mathrm{b}}$ |
| 2011 | $20.7 \%$ | $18.3 \%$ | $61.0 \%$ | $81.7 \%$ | $79.3 \%$ |
| 2012 | $29.4 \%$ | $9.8 \%$ | $60.8 \%$ | $90.2 \%$ | $70.6 \%$ |
| 2013 | $30.2 \%$ | $9.8 \%$ | $60.0 \%$ | $90.2 \%$ | $69.8 \%$ |
| 2014 | $30.8 \%$ | $10.9 \%$ | $58.3 \%$ | $89.1 \%$ | $69.2 \%$ |
| 2015 | $28.3 \%$ | $8.6 \%$ | $63.0 \%$ | $91.3 \%$ | $71.6 \%$ |
| 2016 | $29.3 \%$ | $8.2 \%$ | $62.5 \%$ | $91.8 \%$ | $70.7 \%$ |
| 2017 | $29.8 \%$ | $12.3 \%$ | $57.8 \%$ | $87.6 \%$ | $70.1 \%$ |
| 2018 | $31.9 \%$ | $9.2 \%$ | $58.9 \%$ | $90.8 \%$ | $68.1 \%$ |
| 2019 | $32.8 \%$ | $7.9 \%$ | $59.3 \%$ | $92.1 \%$ | $67.2 \%$ |
| 2020 | $32.6 \%$ | $10.7 \%$ | $56.7 \%$ | $87.1 \%$ | $65.8 \%$ |
| 2021 | $30.0 \%$ | $10.2 \%$ | $59.9 \%$ | $87.3 \%$ | $68.1 \%$ |

[^0]Table 3. Summary of teal harvest and hunter activity during September teal season (Illinois, 2009-21).

| Season ${ }^{\text {a }}$ <br> (Year) | Estimated <br> Hunters | Estimated <br> Days Hunted | Estimated <br> Teal Harvest |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2009 | 15,436 | 55,139 | $19,222 \pm 7,372$ |
| 2010 | 13,038 | 49,038 | $20,127 \pm 9,332$ |
| 2011 | 11,221 | 42,811 | $21,227 \pm 7,993$ |
| 2012 | 10,944 | 46,719 | $31,942 \pm 11,740$ |
| 2013 | 10,378 | 37,431 | $21,967 \pm 7,169$ |
| 2014 | 11,282 | 42,635 | $29,058 \pm 10,909$ |
| 2015 | 9,615 | 37,574 | $28,031 \pm 9.911$ |
| 2016 | 8,969 | 38,610 | $25,346 \pm 9,296$ |
| 2017 | 7,526 | 28,306 | $15,062 \pm 5,480$ |
| 2018 | 9,263 | 35,334 | $23,326 \pm 8,817$ |
| 2019 | 7,955 | 32,706 | $19,268 \pm 8,795$ |
| 2020 | 7,975 | 27,962 | $18,967 \pm 8,767$ |
| 2021 | 11,382 | 50,585 | $28,913 \pm 10,142$ |

${ }^{\text {a }} 1981-2021$ information can be located in Appendix F.

Table 4. Teal harvest and hunter activity by zones during September teal season (Illinois, 2021).

|  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $n$ | Estimated <br> Hunters $^{\mathrm{a}}$ | Estimated Days <br> Hunted | Estimated Teal <br> Harvested |
| North Zone | 102 | 3,016 | 14,102 | 6,147 |
| Central Zone | 205 | 6,061 | 26,638 | 16,930 |
| South Central Zone | 60 | 1,774 | 7,125 | 4,799 |
| South Zone | 591 | 2,276 | 963 |  |
| Unknown | 20 | 30 | 443 | 74 |

[^1]Table 5. Rates of teal harvest and hunter activity during September teal season (Illinois, 2009-2021).

|  |  | Teal Harvest per Hunter |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Season <br> (Year) | Season Length/ <br> Bag Limit | Days Hunted <br> Per Hunter | Per Day | Per Season |
| 2009 | $16 / 4$ | 3.57 | 0.35 | 1.25 |
| 2010 | $16 / 4$ | 3.76 | 0.41 | 1.54 |
| 2011 | $16 / 4$ | 3.82 | 0.50 | 1.90 |
| 2012 | $16 / 4$ | 4.27 | 0.68 | 2.92 |
| 2013 | $16 / 6$ | 3.61 | 0.59 | 2.12 |
| 2014 | $16 / 6$ | 3.78 | 0.68 | 2.58 |
| 2015 | $16 / 6$ | 3.91 | 0.75 | 2.92 |
| 2016 | $16 / 6$ | 4.31 | 0.66 | 2.83 |
| 2017 | $16 / 6$ | 3.76 | 0.53 | 2.00 |
| 2018 | $16 / 6$ | 3.81 | 0.66 | 2.52 |
| 2019 | $16 / 6$ | 4.11 | 0.59 | 2.42 |
| 2020 | $16 / 6$ | 3.51 | 0.68 | 2.38 |
| 2021 | $16 / 6$ | 4.44 | 0.57 | 2.54 |
| ${ }^{\text {a }} 1981-2021$ information can be located in Appendix F. |  |  |  |  |

${ }^{\text {a }}$ 1981-2021 information can be located in Appendix F.

Table 6. Waterfowl harvest and hunter activity during Youth Waterfowl Hunting Days, 2009-2021.

| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Season }^{\mathrm{a}} \\ & \text { (Year) } \end{aligned}$ | Adult <br> Participation | Youth <br> Participation | Days <br> Hunting | Mean |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Youths/ Hunting Party | Total Ducks | Ducks/ <br> Youth/Day | Total Coots | Coots <br> Youth/ <br> Day | Total Geese | Geese/ <br> Youth/ <br> Day |
| 2009 | 7,073 | 9,772 | 15,922 | 1.63 | 11,229 | 0.71 | 599 | 0.04 | 2,396 | 0.15 |
| 2010 | 5,471 | 7,452 | 11,828 | 1.59 | 9,156 | 0.77 | 419 | 0.04 | 1,420 | 0.12 |
| 2011 | 6,325 | 8,642 | 14,059 | 1.63 | 9,569 | 0.68 | 1,333 | 0.09 | 1,318 | 0.09 |
| 2012 | 7,825 | 10,001 | $52,448^{\text {b }}$ | 1.27 | 8,147 ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | 0.41 | $503{ }^{\text {c }}$ | 0.03 | 1,064 ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | 0.05 |
| 2013 | 8,438 | 8,639 | 19,136 | 1.02 | 12,715 | 1.33 | 359 | 0.04 | 2,065 | 0.23 |
| 2014 | 6,405 | 8,572 | 13,798 | 1.33 | 9,004 | 1.30 | 192 | 0.03 | 929 | 0.14 |
| 2015 | 4,718 | 6,291 | 9,873 | 1.33 | 8,171 | 1.65 | 117 | 0.02 | 571 | 0.12 |
| 2016 | 4,398 | 5,921 | 8,553 | 1.34 | 6,731 | 1.57 | 139 | 0.03 | 927 | 0.23 |
| 2017 | 4,780 | 6,459 | 9,956 | 1.35 | 8,283 | 1.66 | 89 | 0.02 | 1464 | 0.32 |
| 2018 | 4,822 | 5,775 | 8,663 | 1.20 | 7,452 | 1.72 | 205 | 0.05 | 729 | 0.18 |
| 2019 | 4,039 | 4,528 | 10,158 | 1.31 | 5,748 | 1.13 | 107 | 0.02 | 790 | 0.16 |
| 2020 | 5,029 | 6,950 | 14,926 | 1.38 | 7,799 | 1.04 | 48 | 0.01 | 1,118 | 0.16 |
| 2021 | 5,410 | 6,859 | 13,156 | 1.27 | 9,820 | 1.49 | 0 | 0.00 | 1,738 | 0.28 |

[^2]Table 7. Summary of duck and coot harvest and hunter activity during the regular duck season (Illinois 2009-2021).

| $\begin{gathered} \text { Season } \\ \text { (Year) }^{\mathrm{a}} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Hunters | Days <br> Afield | Number of Ducks |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Mallards | Wood <br> Ducks | Canvasback | Other Ducks | Total | Coots |
| 2009 | 49,648 | 626,832 | 228,211 | 41,549 | --- | 129,795 | $399,555 \pm 69,698$ | 3,904 $\pm 3,342$ |
| 2010 | 43,450 | 499,758 | 193,758 | 39,611 | ---b | 121,375 | $354,859 \pm 60,571$ | $1,770 \pm 2,435$ |
| 2011 | 46,619 | 632,712 | 222,405 | 54,294 | --b | 150,786 | $427,484 \pm 66,551$ | $4,327 \pm 2,663$ |
| 2012 | 43,444 | 630,233 | 244,988 | 47,623 | ---b | 185,776 | $478,387 \pm 50,294$ | $4,133 \pm 3,536$ |
| 2013 | 43,653 | 563,961 | 225,873 | 49,001 | ---b | 155,306 | $430,179 \pm 29,431$ | $2,143 \pm 4,031$ |
| 2014 | 44,019 | 525,114 | 197,997 | 48,216 | 4,820 | 133,795 | $384,828 \pm 39,741$ | $4,681 \pm 3,311$ |
| 2015 | 36,499 | 496,656 | 166,506 | 43,655 | 4,437 | 115,182 | $329,780 \pm 34,835$ | 3,185 $\pm 1,960$ |
| 2016 | 34,386 | 459,029 | 154,698 | 47,986 | 6,794 | 123,928 | $333,406 \pm 37,408$ | $4,424 \pm 1,338$ |
| 2017 | 30,025 | 394,034 | 136,381 | 29,372 | 3,642 | 108,295 | $277,689 \pm 24,826$ | $1,544 \pm 921$ |
| 2018 | 33,836 | 464,327 | 147,733 | 29,003 | 5,200 | 90,887 | $272,823 \pm 28,512$ | $2,088 \pm 1,764$ |
| 2019 | 31,850 | 482,946 | 164,043 | 51,564 | 4,798 | 103,542 | $323,946 \pm 34,906$ | $2,238 \pm 1,799$ |
| 2020 | 34,432 | 462,541 | 127,525 | 35,335 | 3,739 | 88,081 | $254,680 \pm 26,546$ | 1,701 $\pm 1,224$ |
| 2021 | 37,399 | 532,635 | 175,565 | 42,851 | 4,266 | 138,017 | $360,698 \pm 36,223$ | 3,688 $\pm 3,718$ |

${ }^{\text {a }} 1981-2021$ information can be located in Appendix F.
${ }^{\text {b }}$ Hunters were not asked to distinguish canvasback from other ducks and are included in other ducks for this year.

Table 8. Duck harvest and hunter activity by waterfowl zones and selected areas during the regular duck season (Illinois 2021-22).

| Zone | $n$ | Hunters ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | Estimated <br> Days <br> Hunted | Estimated <br> Ducks <br> Harvested | $\begin{gathered} \text { Days } \\ \text { Hunted/ } \\ \text { Hunter } \end{gathered}$ | Ducks/ <br> Hunter/ <br> Day | Ducks/ <br> Hunter/ <br> Season |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| North | 433 | 12,801 | 138,924 | 74,148 | 10.85 | 0.53 | 5.79 |
| Central | 603 | 17,827 | 263,243 | 185,296 | 14.77 | 0.70 | 10.39 |
| South Central | 215 | 6,356 | 79,972 | 59,321 | 12.58 | 0.74 | 9.33 |
| South | 154 | 4,553 | 48,663 | 41,221 | 10.69 | 0.85 | 9.05 |
| Unknown | 2 | 59 | 1,833 | 711 | 31.00 | 0.39 | 12.02 |
| Statewide | 1,265 | 37,399 | 532,635 | 360,698 | 14.24 | 0.68 | 9.64 |

[^3]Table 9. Rates of duck harvest and hunter activity during the regular duck season (Illinois 2009-2021).

|  |  |  | Duck Harvest/Hunter |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Season <br> a <br> (Year) | Season Length/ <br> Bag Limit | Days Afield/ <br> Hunter | Per Day | Per Season |
| 2009 | $60 / 6(4,2)$ | 12.63 | 0.64 | 8.05 |
| 2010 | $60 / 6(4,2)$ | 11.50 | 0.71 | 8.17 |
| 2011 | $60 / 6(4,2)$ | 13.57 | 0.68 | 9.17 |
| 2012 | $60 / 6(4,2)$ | 14.51 | 0.76 | 11.01 |
| 2013 | $60 / 6(4,2)$ | 12.92 | 0.76 | 9.85 |
| 2014 | $60 / 6(4,2)$ | 11.93 | 0.73 | 8.74 |
| 2015 | $60 / 6(4,2)$ | 13.61 | 0.66 | 9.01 |
| 2016 | $60 / 6(4,2)$ | 13.35 | 0.73 | 9.70 |
| 2017 | $60 / 6(4,2)$ | 13.12 | 0.70 | 9.25 |
| 2018 | $60 / 6(4,2)$ | 13.72 | 0.59 | 8.06 |
| 2019 | $60 / 6(4,2)$ | 15.16 | 0.67 | 10.17 |
| 2020 | $60 / 6(4,2)$ | 13.43 | 0.55 | 7.40 |
| 2021 | $60 / 6(4,2)$ | 14.24 | 0.68 | 9.64 |

${ }^{\text {a }} 1981-2021$ information can be located in Appendix F.
${ }^{\text {b }}$ Excludes ducks harvested coincidentally while goose hunting.

Table 10. Distribution of the number of days afield and number of ducks harvested in 2021-22.

|  | Days Hunting Ducks <br> $(\%)$ | Number of Ducks Harvested <br> $(\%)$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | --- | $15.9 \%$ |
| $1-5$ | $33.6 \%$ | $25.1 \%$ |
| $6-10$ | $21.8 \%$ | $16.0 \%$ |
| $11-15$ | $11.9 \%$ | $7.8 \%$ |
| $16-20$ | $11.1 \%$ | $5.8 \%$ |
| $21-25$ | $5.0 \%$ | $4.8 \%$ |
| $26-30$ | $5.2 \%$ | $3.8 \%$ |
| $>30$ | $11.3 \%$ | $20.7 \%$ |

[^4]Table 11. Canada goose harvest and hunter activity during the early September Canada goose season (Illinois 2009-2021).

|  | Year ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | Statewide | Waterfowl Zone |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | North | Central | South Central | South | Unknown |
| Hunters ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 2009 | 15,102 | 5,232 | 8,089 |  | 1,781 | 0 |
|  | 2010 | 11,015 | 3,918 | 5,813 |  | 1,285 | 0 |
|  | 2011 | 14,214 | 4,625 | 7,889 |  | 1,700 | 0 |
|  | 2012 | 11,192 ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 4,601 | 5,928 | 1,161 | 249 | 0 |
|  | 2013 | 10,865 ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 3,646 | 6,076 | 681 | 462 | 0 |
|  | 2014 | 12,147 | 4,153 | 6,679 | 934 | 554 | 0 |
|  | 2015 | 10,659 | 3,226 | 6,104 | 1,075 | 443 | 0 |
|  | 2016 | 9,973 | 3,324 | 5,125 | 1,316 | 381 | 0 |
|  | 2017 | 9,225 | 2,746 | 5,472 | 849 | 296 | 0 |
|  | 2018 | 9,916 | 3,187 | 5,503 | 899 | 599 | 0 |
|  | 2019 | 8,658 | 3,855 | 4,161 | 490 | 245 | 0 |
|  | 2020 | 9,064 | 3,747 | 4,036 | 1,025 | 352 | 0 |
|  | 2021 | 11,855 | 4,523 | 5,972 | 1,064 | 384 | 0 |
| Days Afield | 2009 | 51,318 | 19,591 | 26,048 |  | 5,678 | 0 |
|  | 2010 | 39,019 | 15,929 | 19,236 |  | 3,854 | 0 |
|  | 2011 | 49,306 | 16,832 | 27,441 |  | 5,033 | 0 |
|  | 2012 | 39,589 | 17,079 | 18,613 | 3,524 | 373 | 0 |
|  | 2013 | 40,955 | 12,323 | 24,816 | 2,042 | 1,774 | 0 |
|  | 2014 | 44,919 | 16,300 | 23,844 | 3,288 | 1,488 | 0 |
|  | 2015 | 38,744 | 13,505 | 21,191 | 2,404 | 1,645 | 0 |
|  | 2016 | 41,935 | 14,925 | 20,950 | 4,883 | 1,177 | 0 |
|  | 2017 | 33,817 | 9,442 | 19,714 | 3,595 | 1,067 | 0 |
|  | 2018 | 35,361 | 12,777 | 18,035 | 2,779 | 1,771 | 0 |
|  | 2019 | 33,410 | 15,634 | 15,695 | 1,316 | 765 | 0 |
|  | 2020 | 34,272 | 15,054 | 14,638 | 3,491 | 1,089 | 0 |
|  | 2021 | 50,585 | 19,838 | 25,307 | 4,435 | 1,005 | 0 |
| Canada Geese | 2009 | 16,212 | 6,101 | 8,336 |  | 1,774 | 0 |
|  | 2010 | 17,115 | 7,967 | 7,859 |  | 1,289 | 0 |
|  | 2011 | 18,790 | 6,339 | 10,874 |  | 1,577 | 0 |
|  | 2012 | 18,028 | 8,557 | 7,664 | 1,599 | 228 | 0 |
|  | 2013 | 15,644 | 5,165 | 9,271 | 523 | 685 | 0 |
|  | 2014 | 19,089 | 7,527 | 9,015 | 1,770 | 777 | 0 |
|  | 2015 | 15,693 | 4,233 | 8,587 | 2,147 | 726 | 0 |
|  | 2016 | 17,711 | 7,895 | 7,780 | 1,539 | 497 | 0 |
|  | 2017 | 16,155 | 4,154 | 10,282 | 1,199 | 510 | 20 |
|  | 2018 | 13,165 | 4,909 | 6,928 | 925 | 404 | 0 |
|  | 2019 | 15,429 | 7,912 | 6,932 | 249 | 336 | 0 |
|  | 2020 | 16,811 | 8,421 | 7,165 | 750 | 475 | 0 |
|  | 2021 | 22,258 | 8,140 | 11,503 | 2,205 | 410 | 0 |

[^5]Table 12. Summary of goose harvest and hunter activity during the regular goose season (Illinois 2009-2021).

| Season <br> (Year) | Hunters | Days Afield | Number of Geese |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: |
|  | Canada Geese | Other Geese | Total |  |  |
| 2009 | 44,601 | 473,769 | $142,836(585)$ | $17,382(355)$ | $160,218(940) \pm 36,569$ |
| 2010 | 36,803 | 385,432 | $99,422(534)$ | $9,594(46)$ | $109,016(580) \pm 22,523$ |
| 2011 | 36,996 | 411,380 | $75,061(618)$ | $19,862(33)$ | $94,923(651) \pm 22,387$ |
| 2012 | 34,034 | 386,356 | $72,682(0)$ | $19,597(0)$ | $92,280(0) \pm 19,570$ |
| 2013 | 33,809 | 391,246 | $104,887(0)$ | $15,859(0)$ | $120,746(0) \pm 12,775$ |
| 2014 | 34,226 | 369,179 | $87,672(50)$ | $20,313(0)$ | $107,985(50) \pm 15,517$ |
| 2015 | 31,280 | 330,482 | $75,198(0)$ | $27,576(0)$ | $102,774(0) \pm 17,608$ |
| 2016 | 26,490 | 312,725 | $77,216(0)$ | $24,563(0)$ | $101,779(0) \pm 18,215$ |
| 2017 | 24,039 | 276,009 | $78,850(0)$ | $27,637(0)$ | $106,486(0) \pm 14,607$ |
| 2018 | 25,363 | 296,021 | $71,035(0)$ | $21,578(0)$ | $92,613(0) \pm 16,720$ |
| 2019 | 23,222 | 292,766 | $85,188(0)$ | $19,158(0)$ | $104,346(0) \pm 23,241$ |
| 2020 | 26,008 | 312,834 | $94,510(0)$ | $10,625(0)$ | $105,135(0) \pm 18,356$ |
| 2021 | 29,180 | 366,689 | $99,276(0)$ | $17,467(0)$ | $116,743(0) \pm 18,853$ |

Numbers in parentheses represent the number of geese harvested while duck hunting.
${ }^{\text {a }} 1981-2021$ information can be located in Appendix F.

Table 13. Distribution of the number of days afield and number of geese harvested.

|  | Days Hunting Geese <br> $(\%)$ | Number of Geese Harvested <br> $(\%)$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | --- | $23.9 \%$ |
| $1-5$ | $41.4 \%$ | $38.5 \%$ |
| $6-10$ | $21.3 \%$ | $15.5 \%$ |
| $11-15$ | $11.7 \%$ | $7.3 \%$ |
| $16-20$ | $8.8 \%$ | $4.9 \%$ |
| $21-25$ | $3.2 \%$ | $2.4 \%$ |
| $26-30$ | $4.8 \%$ | $2.0 \%$ |
| $>30$ | $8.8 \%$ | $5.5 \%$ |

Table 14. Goose harvest and hunter activity by zones, regular season (Illinois 2021-22).

| Zone | Hunters | Days <br> Afield | Estimated Goose Harvest |  |  |  | Total Days Hunted/ Hunter | Total <br> Geese/ <br> Hunter/ <br> Day | Total Geese/ Hunter/ Season |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Canada Geese | WhiteFronted Geese | Snow/ Blue Geese ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | Total Geese |  |  |  |
| North | 11,294 | 133,720 | 44,445 | 170 | 382 | 44,996 | 11.84 | 0.34 | 3.98 |
| Central | 14,280 | 177,979 | 47,596 | 6,246 | 4,211 | 58,054 | 12.46 | 0.33 | 4.07 |
| South Central | 3,075 | 32,758 | 4,748 | 1,668 | 2,911 | 9,327 | 10.65 | 0.28 | 3.03 |
| South | 2,099 | 22,233 | 2,487 | 678 | 1,201 | 4,367 | 10.59 | 0.20 | 2.08 |
| Unknown | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Statewide | 29,180 | 366,689 | 99,276 | 8,762 | 8,705 | 116,743 | 12.57 | 0.32 | 4.00 |

${ }^{\mathrm{a}}$ Less than the sum of hunters in individual zones because some hunters hunted more than 1 zone.
${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ Harvest estimates include Ross' geese.

Table 15. Canada goose harvest by zone during the regular goose season, three-year trend (Illinois 2019-20 through 2021-22).

| Zone | $2019-20$ | $2020-21$ | $2021-22$ | 3-Year Mean | S.D. |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| North | 44,385 | 49,620 | 44,445 | 46,150 | 3,005 |
| Central | 36,868 | 40,450 | 47,596 | 41,638 | 5,462 |
| South Central | 1,887 | 2,985 | 4,748 | 3,207 | 1,443 |
| South | 2,047 | 1,454 | 2,487 | 1,996 | 518 |
| Unknown | - | - | - | - | - |
| Statewide | 85,188 | 94,510 | 99,276 | 92,991 | 7,166 |

Table 16. Summary of the number of ducks and geese crippled (Illinois 2009-2021 regular seasons).

| $S_{2}$ Season $^{\mathrm{a}}$ <br> (Year) | Estimated Ducks |  |  | Estimated Geese |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total | Per 100 Bagged |  | Total | Per 100 Bagged |
| 2009 | 67,718 | 16.9 |  | 12,245 | 7.6 |
| 2010 | 57,388 | 16.2 |  | 9,217 | 8.5 |
| 2011 | 64,268 | 15.0 |  | 6,937 | 7.3 |
| 2012 | $71,054^{*}$ | $14.9^{*}$ |  | $10,452^{*}$ | $11.3^{*}$ |
| 2013 | 59,064 | 13.7 |  | 8,847 | 7.3 |
| 2014 | 51,909 | 13.5 |  | 7,856 | 7.3 |
| 2015 | 47,442 | 14.4 |  | 7,622 | 7.4 |
| 2016 | 43,666 | 13.1 |  | 6,149 | 5.6 |
| 2017 | 37,491 | 13.5 |  | 6,657 | 6.3 |
| 2018 | 36,996 | 13.6 |  | 5,558 | 6.0 |
| 2019 | 40,630 | 12.5 |  | 5,783 | 5.5 |
| 2020 | 33,984 | 13.3 |  | 7,495 | 7.1 |
| 2021 | 44,731 | 12.4 |  | 6,859 | 5.9 |

${ }^{\text {a }} 1981-2021$ information can be located in Appendix F.
*Amended from 2012-13 report.

Table 17. Illinois duck hunters' satisfaction with various aspects of the 2021-22 duck seasons.

|  | Zone <br> ( $n$ in parentheses) | Very Dissatisfied (\%) | Dissatisfied (\%) | Unsure (\%) | Satisfied <br> (\%) | Very Satisfied (\%) | $\overline{\mathrm{x}}$ (S.D. ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Number of ducks you saw | North (398) | 23.1\% | 32.9\% | 21.9\% | 19.6\% | 2.5\% | 2.5 (1.1) |
|  | Central (565) | 22.3\% | 34.9\% | 21.2\% | 17.5\% | 4.1\% | 2.5 (1.1) |
|  | South Central (175) | 34.9\% | 32.0\% | 21.7\% | 10.9\% | 0.6\% | 2.1 (1.0) |
|  | South (105) | 13.3\% | 30.5\% | 25.7\% | 25.7\% | 4.8\% | 2.8 (1.1) |
| Mid-season matched peak of duck migration | North (396) | 22.7\% | 35.6\% | 31.6\% | 9.3\% | 0.8\% | 2.3 (0.9) |
|  | Central (562) | 27.9\% | 37.9\% | 23.7\% | 8.4\% | 2.1\% | 2.2 (1.0) |
|  | South Central (176) | 34.7\% | 33.0\% | 26.1\% | 6.3\% | 0.0\% | 2.0 (0.9) |
|  | South (104) | 18.3\% | 34.6\% | 33.7\% | 13.5\% | 0.0\% | 2.4 (0.9) |
| Amount of shooting you got in | North (398) | 23.1\% | 30.4\% | 29.9\% | 13.3\% | 3.3\% | 2.4 (1.1) |
|  | Central (561) | 21.9\% | 29.6\% | 28.3\% | 17.3\% | 2.9\% | 2.5 (1.1) |
|  | South Central (176) | 30.7\% | 33.5\% | 23.9\% | 11.4\% | 0.6\% | 2.2 (1.0) |
|  | South (105) | 14.3\% | 32.4\% | 25.7\% | 24.8\% | 2.9\% | 2.7 (1.1) |
| Number of ducks migrating through areas you hunted | North (400) | 25.8\% | 34.0\% | 26.0\% | 13.0\% | 1.3\% | 2.3 (1.0) |
|  | Central (563) | 25.4\% | 39.4\% | 19.4\% | 13.0\% | 2.8\% | 2.3 (1.1) |
|  | South Central (178) | 32.6\% | 43.8\% | 14.6\% | 8.4\% | 0.6\% | 2.0 (0.9) |
|  | South (105) | 18.1\% | 27.6\% | 30.5\% | 21.9\% | 1.9\% | 2.6 (1.1) |
| Amount of time you spent duck hunting | North (402) | 13.9\% | 23.6\% | 30.8\% | 25.9\% | 5.7\% | 2.9 (1.1) |
|  | Central (563) | 11.4\% | 19.9\% | 32.1\% | 29.7\% | 6.9\% | 3.0 (1.1) |
|  | South Central (175) | 18.9\% | 14.9\% | 31.4\% | 27.4\% | 7.4\% | 2.9 (1.2) |
|  | South (105) | 11.4\% | 22.9\% | 24.8\% | 32.4\% | 8.6\% | 3.0 (1.2) |
| Number of ducks you harvested | North (402) | 23.6\% | 28.1\% | 31.1\% | 15.9\% | 1.2\% | 2.4 (1.1) |
|  | Central (565) | 21.2\% | 32.0\% | 26.9\% | 16.6\% | 3.2\% | 2.5 (1.1) |
|  | South Central (176) | 25.6\% | 35.8\% | 24.4\% | 13.1\% | 1.1\% | 2.3 (1.0) |
|  | South (105) | 19.0\% | 20.0\% | 35.2\% | 24.8\% | 1.0\% | 2.7 (1.1) |
| Season start date allowed the season to match migration | North (394) | 22.8\% | 29.9\% | 34.3\% | 11.9\% | 1.0\% | 2.4 (1.0) |
|  | Central (560) | 29.1\% | 28.8\% | 28.6\% | 11.4\% | 2.1\% | 2.3 (1.1) |
|  | South Central (176) | 32.4\% | 34.1\% | 24.4\% | 8.5\% | 0.6\% | 2.1 (1.0) |
|  | South (102) | 19.6\% | 22.5\% | 31.4\% | 21.6\% | 4.9\% | 2.7 (1.2) |
| Weather during duck season | North (401) | 10.2\% | 18.7\% | 44.9\% | 24.4\% | 1.7\% | 2.9 (0.9) |
|  | Central (565) | 12.4\% | 20.4\% | 44.6\% | 19.6\% | 3.0\% | 2.8 (1.0) |
|  | South Central (178) | 16.9\% | 23.6\% | 44.4\% | 14.0\% | 1.1\% | 2.6 (1.0) |
|  | South (104) | 8.7\% | 18.3\% | 43.3\% | 27.9\% | 1.9\% | 3.0 (0.9) |

[^6]Table 18. Importance of factors to respondents' waterfowl hunting experience in Illinois.

|  | Not At All <br> Important | Slightly <br> Important | Important | Very <br> Important | Extremely <br> Important |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Seeing ducks in the field $(n=1,824)$ | $2.0 \%$ | $4.8 \%$ | $29.0 \%$ | $31.5 \%$ | $32.8 \%$ |
| Bagging my daily limit $(n=1,815)$ | $29.0 \%$ | $38.2 \%$ | $21.1 \%$ | $7.8 \%$ | $3.9 \%$ |
| Bagging at least 1 duck during a day <br> in the field $(n=1,809)$ | $10.7 \%$ | $22.4 \%$ | $33.6 \%$ | $20.1 \%$ | $13.3 \%$ |
| Bagging drakes $(n=1,809)$ | $19.3 \%$ | $24.4 \%$ | $31.1 \%$ | $16.6 \%$ | $8.5 \%$ |
| Bagging a lot of ducks over the <br> season $(n=1,806)$ | $25.2 \%$ | $32.4 \%$ | $25.9 \%$ | $10.7 \%$ | $5.7 \%$ |
| Bagging a variety of different duck <br> species $(n=1,810)$ | $20.8 \%$ | $29.6 \%$ | $29.7 \%$ | $14.1 \%$ | $5.7 \%$ |
| Bagging diving ducks $(n=1,810)$ | $50.3 \%$ | $25.5 \%$ | $15.4 \%$ | $6.3 \%$ | $2.5 \%$ |
| Bagging mallards $(n=1,812)$ | $11.9 \%$ | $21.5 \%$ | $33.9 \%$ | $21.5 \%$ | $11.2 \%$ |
| Bagging teal and wood ducks <br> $(n=1,812)$ | $18.1 \%$ | $29.1 \%$ | $33.8 \%$ | $13.4 \%$ | $5.6 \%$ |

Table 19. Satisfaction with aspects of respondents' most recent waterfowl hunting season in Illinois.

|  | Not at all <br> Satisfied | Slightly <br> Satisfied | Satisfied | Very <br> Satisfied | Extremely <br> Satisfied |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Seeing ducks in the field $(n=1,806)$ | $31.6 \%$ | $33.3 \%$ | $24.4 \%$ | $6.8 \%$ | $3.9 \%$ |
| Bagging my daily limit $(n=1,785)$ | $45.2 \%$ | $30.8 \%$ | $20.2 \%$ | $2.5 \%$ | $1.4 \%$ |
| Bagging at least 1 duck during a day <br> in the field $(n=1,784)$ | $32.1 \%$ | $29.1 \%$ | $28.6 \%$ | $6.3 \%$ | $3.9 \%$ |
| Bagging drakes $(n=1,780)$ | $33.0 \%$ | $24.7 \%$ | $33.6 \%$ | $5.6 \%$ | $3.1 \%$ |
| Bagging a lot of ducks over the <br> season $(n=1,781)$ | $44.4 \%$ | $28.5 \%$ | $21.8 \%$ | $3.6 \%$ | $1.7 \%$ |
| Bagging a variety of different duck <br> species $(n=1,772)$ | $38.3 \%$ | $27.1 \%$ | $27.8 \%$ | $5.4 \%$ | $1.4 \%$ |
| Bagging diving ducks $(n=1,772)$ | $48.1 \%$ | $22.9 \%$ | $25.5 \%$ | $2.4 \%$ | $1.2 \%$ |
| Bagging mallards $(n=1,784)$ | $36.4 \%$ | $26.1 \%$ | $28.4 \%$ | $6.5 \%$ | $2.5 \%$ |
| Bagging teal and wood ducks <br> $(n=1,777)$ | $38.4 \%$ | $28.5 \%$ | $27.5 \%$ | $4.2 \%$ | $1.4 \%$ |

Table 20. Hunter* opinions of the timing of 2021-22 waterfowl seasons.**

| Season | $n$ | Too Early <br> $(\%)$ | About Right <br> $(\%)$ | Too Late <br> $(\%)$ | Not Sure <br> $(\%)$ |
| ---: | ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| North September Teal | 95 | $13.7 \%$ | $66.3 \%$ | $12.6 \%$ | $7.4 \%$ |
| Central September Teal | 197 | $13.2 \%$ | $65.5 \%$ | $19.3 \%$ | $2.0 \%$ |
| South Central September Teal | 55 | $23.6 \%$ | $65.5 \%$ | $5.5 \%$ | $5.5 \%$ |
| South September Teal | 19 | $21.1 \%$ | $52.6 \%$ | $10.5 \%$ | $15.8 \%$ |
| Combined September Teal | 368 | $15.2 \%$ | $65.2 \%$ | $14.9 \%$ | $4.6 \%$ |
| North September Goose | 148 | $15.5 \%$ | $76.4 \%$ | $2.7 \%$ | $5.4 \%$ |
| Central September Goose | 186 | $16.7 \%$ | $71.5 \%$ | $2.7 \%$ | $9.1 \%$ |
| South Central September Goose | 32 | $21.9 \%$ | $68.8 \%$ | $3.1 \%$ | $6.3 \%$ |
| South September Goose | 14 | $21.4 \%$ | $78.6 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ |
| Combined September Goose | 383 | $17.0 \%$ | $73.4 \%$ | $2.6 \%$ | $7.0 \%$ |
| North Regular Duck | 395 | $41.0 \%$ | $43.5 \%$ | $9.4 \%$ | $6.1 \%$ |
| Central Regular Duck | 564 | $42.0 \%$ | $38.1 \%$ | $14.4 \%$ | $5.5 \%$ |
| South Central Regular Duck | 175 | $57.7 \%$ | $26.9 \%$ | $10.3 \%$ | $5.1 \%$ |
| South Regular Duck | 107 | $35.5 \%$ | $52.3 \%$ | $7.5 \%$ | $4.7 \%$ |
| Combined Regular Duck | 1,245 | $43.4 \%$ | $39.5 \%$ | $11.6 \%$ | $5.5 \%$ |
| North Regular Goose | 365 | $26.6 \%$ | $64.1 \%$ | $4.4 \%$ | $4.9 \%$ |
| Central Regular Goose | 438 | $35.8 \%$ | $53.9 \%$ | $4.6 \%$ | $5.7 \%$ |
| South Central Regular Goose | 102 | $47.1 \%$ | $40.2 \%$ | $7.8 \%$ | $4.9 \%$ |
| South Regular Goose | 59 | $32.2 \%$ | $62.7 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $5.1 \%$ |
| Combined Regular Goose | 967 | $33.2 \%$ | $57.0 \%$ | $4.6 \%$ | $5.3 \%$ |

*Cases selected for those who indicated they hunted at least 1 day during the corresponding zone/season in 2021-22.
${ }^{*}$ Z Zone determined by zone hunted in most often for species in 2021-22 season.
Table 21. Respondents' preferred zoning option for Illinois for the period of 2026 through 2030.

|  | Zones |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | North <br> $(n=499)$ | Contral <br> $(n=703)$ | Central <br> $(n=204)$ | South <br> $(n=115)$ |
| I do not have a preference. | $40.7 \%$ | $35.7 \%$ | $19.6 \%$ | $28.7 \%$ |
| Three duck zones with 2 season segments (2-way split) <br> in one, two, or all zones. | $19.8 \%$ | $24.6 \%$ | $39.7 \%$ | $26.1 \%$ |
| Three duck zones with a continuous season with one <br> segment. | $8.6 \%$ | $11.8 \%$ | $11.3 \%$ | $5.2 \%$ |
| Two zones with one or two splits and up to 3 season <br> segments in each zone. | $7.6 \%$ | $10.2 \%$ | $11.3 \%$ | $7.0 \%$ |
| Four duck zones (No change from current system). | $9.6 \%$ | $7.4 \%$ | $8.3 \%$ | $18.3 \%$ |
| Keep a 4-zone structure but reconfigure the current zone <br> configuration. | $9.2 \%$ | $6.4 \%$ | $6.9 \%$ | $14.8 \%$ |
| One statewide zone with up to two splits and up to 3 <br> segments. | $4.4 \%$ | $3.8 \%$ | $2.9 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ |

Table 22. Preferred splits for the duck hunting season in Illinois for zone hunted most often by respondent.

|  | Zones |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | North <br> $(n=501)$ | Central <br> $(n=699)$ | Central <br> $(n=203)$ | South <br> $(n=115)$ |
| Option A Open earlier and close later using a large split <br> to extend season. | $22.0 \%$ | $19.7 \%$ | $17.2 \%$ | $22.6 \%$ |
| Option B Open at the current time but close later using a <br> split to give ducks a rest and provide a "second opening <br> day." | $24.6 \%$ | $18.9 \%$ | $18.7 \%$ | $20.9 \%$ |
| Option C Open earlier and close at the current time | $10.8 \%$ | $7.6 \%$ | $5.9 \%$ | $4.3 \%$ |
| using a small split to give ducks a rest and provide a <br> "second opening day." | $7.0 \%$ | $6.2 \%$ | $3.9 \%$ | $2.6 \%$ |
| Option D Use a split to create a short segment well <br> before the current opening day to target early migrants. | $6.8 \%$ | $7.6 \%$ | $6.4 \%$ | $4.3 \%$ |
| Option E Use a split to create a short segment well after <br> the current closing date to target late migrants. | $25.1 \%$ | $35.9 \%$ | $44.3 \%$ | $42.6 \%$ |
| Option F Open and close later using a split to extend <br> season. | $3.8 \%$ | $4.1 \%$ | $3.4 \%$ | $2.6 \%$ |
| If a single split were used, I would prefer one different <br> from these. |  |  |  |  |

Table 23. Respondents' preference for zones to combine if a three duck zone configuration was used in Illinois for the period of 2026 through 2030.

|  | Zones |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | North <br> $(n=500)$ | Central <br> $(n=700)$ | South <br> Central <br> $(n=205)$ | South <br> $(n=115)$ |
| Combine the North and Central zones, and no changes to <br> South Central and South zones. | $43.6 \%$ | $17.9 \%$ | $13.7 \%$ | $20.0 \%$ |
| Combine the Central and South Central zones, and no <br> changes to North and South zones. | $9.4 \%$ | $23.4 \%$ | $13.7 \%$ | $10.4 \%$ |
| Combine the South Central and South zones, and no <br> changes to North and Central zones. | $21.4 \%$ | $28.6 \%$ | $53.7 \%$ | $40.0 \%$ |
| A new zone configuration that doesn't combine two of <br> the existing zones. | $5.8 \%$ | $6.9 \%$ | $4.9 \%$ | $4.3 \%$ |
| I would prefer that a three duck zone configuration not <br> be used. | $19.8 \%$ | $23.3 \%$ | $14.1 \%$ | $25.2 \%$ |
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The Department of Natural Resources is requesting disclosure of information that is necessary to accomplish the statutory purpose as outlined under the Illinois Compiled Statutes, The Wildlife Code, Chapter 520. Disclosure of information is voluntary. This study is funded by the federal Wildlife Restoration Fund through your purchase of sporting arms and ammunition.

## THANK YOU FOR YOUR COOPERATION!

All of your responses will be kept confidential.
Please return this survey in the postage-paid return envelope provided.

Section 1. Waterfowl Hunting in Illinois. Please provide the following information so that Illinois Department of Natural Resources (IDNR) waterfowl biologists may better understand hunters in Illinois.

1. Did you purchase an Illinois State Waterfowl Stamp for the 2021-22 season? $\qquad$ Yes $\qquad$ No
2. Do you ever purchase an Illinois migratory waterfowl stamp without intending to hunt during the waterfowl season in Illinois?
$\qquad$ Yes $\qquad$ No (If "No," please go to Question 5)
3. If "Yes," which of the following best describes how often you purchase an Illinois migratory waterfowl stamp without intending to hunt during the waterfowl season in Illinois?
$\qquad$ Every year $\qquad$ Most years $\qquad$ Occassional years $\qquad$
4. Please indicate the reason that you purchase an Illinois migratory waterfowl stamp without intending to hunt during the waterfowl season in Illinois? (Please check all that apply)
$\qquad$ Collect waterfowl stamps $\qquad$ Support wildlife conservation
$\qquad$ Support wetland and grassland conservation $\qquad$ Support birding, nature photography and other

Other (Please identify): $\qquad$ other recreation
5. Which of the following best describes how often you hunt waterfowl (ducks, geese, or coots) in Illinois?
$\qquad$ Every year $\qquad$ Most years
Occassional years $\qquad$ Rarely $\qquad$ Never

## If you never hunt waterfowl in Illinois, please go to Section 5.

6. Did you hunt waterfowl in Illinois during the 2021-22 waterfowl hunting season?
$\qquad$ Yes $\qquad$ No (If "No," please go to Section 4)

6a. Was the 2021-22 Illinois waterfowl season your first time hunting waterfowl in Illinois?
$\qquad$ No $\qquad$ Yes (new Illinois resident hunter) $\qquad$ Yes (new nonresident hunter)

Please refer to the zone map on the back of the included cover letter to answer question 6 b .
6b. In which of the following zones did you hunt waterfowl MOST often? (Please check one response)
$\qquad$ North Zone $\qquad$ Central Zone $\qquad$ South Central Zone $\qquad$ South Zone
7. Did you hunt waterfowl on Illinois state public land during the 2021-22 seasons?
$\qquad$ Yes $\qquad$ No (If "No," please go to Question 8)

7a. In what zone(s) did you hunt waterfowl on public land? (Please check all that apply)
$\qquad$ North Zone $\qquad$ Central Zone $\qquad$ South Central Zone
$\qquad$ South Zone

7b. Did any of your hunts on public lands use a blind awarded through a lottery? $\qquad$ Yes $\qquad$ No
8. Did you hunt fewer or more days during the 2021-22 waterfowl season compared to the last 5 years?
$\qquad$ Much fewer $\qquad$ Fewer About the same More $\qquad$ Much more

8a. If you hunted fewer days, please indicate the main reason(s) that you hunted fewer days during the 2021-22 waterfowl season than compared to the last 5 years? (Please check all that apply)
$\qquad$ Lack of access to hunting land
$\qquad$ Bad weather (e.g., snow, cold temperatures)
$\qquad$ Restrictions due to COVID-19
___Change in childcare and/or school obligations
$\qquad$ Conflict with upland game season
$\qquad$ Lack of waterfowl in area(s) hunted
___ Personal health
$\qquad$ Lack of hunting partners available
$\qquad$ Change in work schedule/location
$\qquad$ Conflict with deer hunting season
$\qquad$ Other (Please identify): $\qquad$
8 b . If you hunted more days, please indicate the main reason(s) that you hunted more days during the 2021-22 waterfowl season than compared to the last 5 years? (Please check all that apply)
$\qquad$ Increased access to hunting land
___Better weather (e.g., snow, cold temperatures)
$\qquad$ More time to hunt due to COVID-19
$\qquad$ More waterfowl in the area(s) I hunted
$\square$
Change in childcare and/or school obligations
$\qquad$ Personal health
$\qquad$ More hunting partners available
$\qquad$
$\qquad$ Change in work schedule/location
$\qquad$ Other (Please identify): $\qquad$

Section 2. Waterfowl Harvest in Illinois. Please provide the following information so that IDNR waterfowl biologists may estimate waterfowl harvest, conserve waterfowl populations, and provide hunting opportunities in Illinois. If you did not hunt waterfowl in Illinois during the 2021-22 seasons, please go to Section 4.

1. Please report your hunting effort and harvest in Illinois between September $1^{\text {st }}, 2021$ and January $31^{\text {st }}, 2022$ in the following tables.

- Include only your personal effort and harvest (DO NOT include harvests for party)
- Count part of 1 day as 1 whole day.
- Only report days hunted in the table for the species you targeted that day.
a. September Teal Season (DO NOT include harvest after September)

| Zone hunted |  | County hunted | Total days <br> hunted | Teal <br> harvested |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  |  |  |  | Teal downed but <br> not retrieved |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |

b. September Canada Goose Season (DO NOT include harvest after September)

| Zone hunted |  | County hunted | Total days <br> hunted |  | Geese <br> harvested |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  |  |  | Geese downed but <br> not retrieved |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |

c. Regular Duck Season (DO NOT include harvest from September)

| Zone hunted | County hunted | Total days hunted | Mallards harvested | Wood ducks harvested | $\begin{gathered} \text { Canvas- } \\ \text { backs } \\ \text { harvested } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Other <br> ducks harvested | Coots harvested | Ducks downed but not retrieved |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

d. Regular Goose Season (DO NOT include harvest from September or Conservation Order Light Goose season that occurs after regular goose season closes)

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Zone hunted | County hunted | Total days <br> hunted | Canada <br> geese <br> harvested | White-fronted <br> (Specklebelly) <br> geese harvested | Snow/Blue/ <br> Ross' geese <br> harvested | Geese downed <br> but not <br> retrieved |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Section 3. Youth Hunts. Please answer the following questions about mentoring youth hunters in Illinois. Please note: "Youths" are defined as hunters 17 years of age or younger.

1. Did you take a youth (17 years old or younger) hunting during the 2021 Youth Waterfowl Hunting Days? _____ No (If "No," please go to Question 2)

1a. Was this at least one youth's first time duck or goose hunting? $\qquad$ Yes $\qquad$ No

1b. Was this YOUR first time accompanying a youth during the Youth Waterfowl Hunting Days?
$\qquad$ Yes (If "Yes," please go to Question 2) $\qquad$ No

1c. How often do you accompany a youth during the Youth Waterfowl Hunting Days?
$\qquad$ Every year $\qquad$ Most but not every year $\qquad$ Occasionally
2. Please report information for each youth that hunted during the 2021 Youth Waterfowl Hunting Days.
(North Zone: Oct. 16-17, Central Zone: Oct. 23-24, South Central Zone: Nov. 6-7, South Zone: Nov. 13-14) Enter $\mathbf{0}$ if the youth did not harvest any ducks, geese, or coots.

| Age | Number of <br> Days hunted | County <br> hunted | Mallards <br> harvested | Wood ducks <br> harvested | Other ducks <br> harvested | Geese <br> harvested | Coots <br> harvested |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Youth 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Youth 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Youth 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Youth 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Section 4. Satisfaction and zone timing. The following questions will tell us about your satisfaction with the 2021-2022 Illinois waterfowl season(s).

1. Do you feel the dates of the 2021-22 waterfowl hunting seasons were too early, about right, or too late in the zone where you hunted most often? (Please circle one number for each season)

|  | Too <br> early | About <br> right | Too late | I am <br> not sure | I did not hunt <br> this season |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Teal season (September only) | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| September Canada Goose Season (September only) | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| Duck Season | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| Canada Goose Season | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |

2. Please rate the importance of each item to your waterfowl hunting experience in Illinois. (Please circle one number that matches your response)

|  | Not at all <br> Important | Slightly <br> Important | 1 | 2 | 3 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Important |  |  |  |  |  |$\quad$| Very |
| :---: |
| Important | | Extremely <br> Important |
| :---: |
| Seeing ducks in the field |
| Bagging my daily limit | 1 | 4 |
| :--- |
| Bagging at least 1 duck during a day in the field |

3. Please rate your satisfaction with the following aspects of your most recent waterfowl hunting season in Illinois. (Please circle one number that matches your response)

|  | Not at all <br> Satisfied | Slightly <br> Satisfied | Satisfied | Very Satisfied | Extremely <br> Satisfied |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Seeing ducks in the field | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| Bagging my daily limit | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| Bagging at least 1 duck during a day in the field | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| Bagging drakes | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| Bagging a lot of ducks over the season | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| Bagging a variety of different duck species | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| Bagging diving ducks | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| Bagging mallards | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| Bagging teal and wood ducks | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |

4. Please rate your SATISFACTION with the most recent duck season(s) you hunted in Illinois by circling the number that best matches your response. If you did not hunt ducks during 2021-22, please go to Question 5.

|  | Very <br> Dissatisfied Dissatisfied | Neutral | Satisfied | Very <br> Satisfied |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Number of ducks you saw | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| Mid-season matched peak of duck migration | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| Amount of shooting you got in | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| Number of ducks migrating through areas you hunted | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| Amount of time you spent duck hunting | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| Number of ducks you harvested | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| Season start date allowed the season to match migration | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| Weather during duck season | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |

5. Please indicate the most important consideration when deciding on your preferred 2022-23 duck season dates for the zone that you intend to hunt most often during the 2022-23 duck season. (Please check one response)
___Timing of wood duck migration
___Timing of mallard migration
___Maximize number of January hunting days
$\qquad$ Ice (I prefer hunting after freeze up)
___Peak of duck migration Other (please identify): $\qquad$
$\qquad$
6. Currently Illinois has a continuous duck season with 4 duck hunting zones. The next opportunity to change zone options will be for the period $\mathbf{2 0 2 6}$ through 2030. The U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service likely will allow the following duck season zoning options. Which option do you prefer for Illinois for the period 2026 through 2030?
Note that split seasons open for a segment, close for a period, and then reopen. (Please check ONE response)
___One statewide zone with up to two splits and up to 3 season segments.
$\qquad$ Two zones with one or two splits and up to 3 season segments in each zone.
$\qquad$ Three duck zones with a continuous season with one segment.
$\qquad$ Three duck zones with 2 season segments (2-way split) in one, two, or all zones.
$\qquad$ Four duck zones (Split season is prohibited by U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, no change from current system)
$\qquad$ Keep a 4-zone structure but reconfigure the current zone configuration.
$\qquad$ I do not have a preference.

6a. If a single split season were used for the period of $\underline{\mathbf{2 0 2 6} \text { through 2030 }}$, there are several possible options for when the seasons could be closed. Below is a diagram that illustrates how some states currently use splits. Please refer to the diagram and choose how you would prefer splits be used in Illinois for the zone you hunt most often. (Please check ONE response)

|  | Early |  |  | Mid-season |  | Late |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Illinois Currently |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Option A | Open |  |  | Closed | Open |  |  |
| Option B | Open |  | Closed | Open |  |  |  |
| Option C | Open | Closed |  |  | Open |  |  |
| Option D | Closed |  |  |  | Open |  |  |
| Option E |  |  |  |  | Open |  | Closed |
| Option F |  |  |  |  | Open | Closed | Open |

___Option A Open earlier and close later using a large split to extend season.
____Option B Open at the current time but close later using a split to give ducks a rest and provide a "second opening day."
___Option C Open earlier and close at the current time using a small split to give ducks a rest and provide a "second opening day."
___Option D Use a split to create a short segment well before the current opening day to target early migrants.
___Option E Use a split to create short segment well after the current closing date to target late migrants.
__Option F Open and close later using a split to extend season.If a single split were used, I would prefer one different from these.

6b. If a three duck zones configuration were used for the period of $\mathbf{2 0 2 6}$ through 2030 , which two zones would you prefer to combine? Refer to the map on the back of the cover letter if necessary. (Please check ONE response)
___Combine the North and Central zones, and no changes to South Central and South zones.
__Combine the Central and South Central zones, and no changes to North and South zones.
___Combine the South Central and South zones, and no changes to North and Central zones.
___A new zone configuration that doesn't combine two of the existing zones.
___I would prefer that a three duck zone configuration not be used.

Section 5. Background Information. The following questions allow us to understand more about the people involved in waterfowl hunting in Illinois. All responses are kept confidential.

1. How many years have you hunted waterfowl in Illinois? $\qquad$ Years
2. At what age did you first hunt waterfowl? $\qquad$ Years old
3. What is your county of residence? $\qquad$ County (If nonresident, please include state)
4. Please give your age. $\qquad$ Years old
5. What is your gender? $\qquad$ Male $\qquad$ Female
$\qquad$
6a. If "yes," do you have high-speed Internet access? $\qquad$ Yes $\qquad$ No
6. Would you like reminders to renew your hunting license each year? $\qquad$ Yes $\qquad$ No

7a. If "yes," how would you like to be reminded? Please check all that apply.
$\qquad$ Text Email $\qquad$ Social media
8. Would you like reminders about permit due dates? $\qquad$ Yes $\qquad$ No

8a. If yes, how would you like to be reminded? Please check all that apply.
___ Eext Email ___Social media
9. Have you missed a permit application deadline because you did not know it was due?
$\qquad$ Yes $\qquad$ No
10. Do you receive announcements from IDNR in your email?
$\qquad$ Yes $\qquad$ Not now, but I used to get them $\qquad$ No
11. Did you sign up for email announcements from IDNR? $\qquad$ Yes $\qquad$ No
12. Would you use a free mobile-phone application provided by the IDNR to store an electronic copy of permits and licenses instead of being required to have a paper copy?
$\qquad$ Yes $\qquad$ No
13. Do you belong to any local, state, or national waterfowl hunting organizations? (Please check all that apply)
$\qquad$ No $\qquad$ Yes, local Yes, state $\qquad$ Yes, national

## Comments



## THANK YOU FOR YOUR TIME AND ASSISTANCE!

## Please return this survey in the postage-paid envelope provided.

The Illinois Department of Natural Resources receives federal assistance and therefore must comply with federal anti-discrimination laws. In compliance with the Illinois Human Rights Act, the Illinois Constitution, Title VI of the 1964 Civil Rights Act, Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act as amended, and the U.S. Constitution, the Illinois Department of Natural Resources does not discriminate on the basis of race, color, sex, national origin, age, or disability. If you believe you have been discriminated against in any program, activity, or facility, please contact the Equal Employment Opportunity Officer, Department of Natural Resources, One Natural Resources Way, Springfield, IL 62701-1787, (217) 782-7616 or the Officer of Human Resources, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, Washington, D.C. 20240.

## Appendix B

ILLINOIS NATURAL History Survey
Prairie Research Institute
University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign

Dear Waterfowl Hunter,
Your name was randomly selected from a list of 2021 resident/non-resident State Waterfowl stamp purchasers and Illinois Harvest Information Program (HIP) registrants. We are asking you to provide information about your activities during the 2021-22 waterfowl hunting seasons in Illinois. Even if you did not hunt ducks or geese in Illinois during the 2021-22 seasons, we need to hear from you and we ask that you take a few minutes to complete and return the enclosed questionnaire.

We have included the Illinois waterfowl zone map on the back of this letter if you need it to determine the zone(s) you hunted.

This study, jointly conducted by the Illinois Department of Natural Resources and the Illinois Natural History Survey, is an effort to learn about waterfowl hunting activities in Illinois. Results of this study will help waterfowl managers make decisions to improve hunting opportunities and to better manage Illinois' duck and goose populations. Your responses are voluntary and completely confidential. By responding you will help us more effectively manage waterfowl and hunting in Illinois.

If you do not wish to participate, please return the blank questionnaire so we can remove you from our mailing list.

You may access the results of this and other studies of hunters and hunting in Illinois at https://publish.illinois.edu/human-dimensions/. You may also find information about Illinois Department of Natural Resources wildlife management programs and wildlife in Illinois at https://www.dnr.illinois.gov/conservation/wildlife.

If you have questions regarding this study, please call us at (217) 244-5121.
Sincerely,

Craig A. Miller
Human Dimensions Research Program


## Waterfowl Zone Lines



## Appendix C



Dear Waterfowl Hunter,
Recently you were mailed a questionnaire about your waterfowl hunting activities in Illinois. We have not yet received your response. If you have already returned the questionnaire, we thank you. If you have not returned the questionnaire, please do so as soon as possible. Your input is very important!

Your name and address will be deleted from our mailing list when your questionnaire is received. Thank you for your cooperation.

## Appendix D

IlLinois Natural History Survey
Prairie Research Institute
University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign

Dear Waterfowl Hunter,
Your name was randomly selected from the list of 2021 resident/non-resident State Waterfowl stamp purchasers and Illinois Harvest Information Program (HIP) registrants. We recently mailed you a questionnaire regarding your hunting experiences in Illinois during the 2021-22 waterfowl season. If you have already returned the questionnaire, we thank you.

If you have not returned your completed questionnaire, please do so as soon as possible. We have enclosed another copy for your use. The information you and other selected hunters provide will help waterfowl managers make decisions to improve hunting opportunities and to better manage Illinois' duck and goose populations. Your responses are voluntary and completely confidential. We have included the Illinois waterfowl zone map on the back of this letter if you need it to determine the zones) you hunted.

Even if you did not hunt ducks or geese in Illinois during the 2021-22 seasons, we need to hear from you and we ask that you take a few minutes to complete and return the enclosed questionnaire. A postage paid envelope is provided for you to return the questionnaire to us.

If you do not wish to participate, please return the blank questionnaire so we can remove your name from our mailing list.

You may access the results of this and other studies of hunters and hunting in Illinois at https://publish.illinois.edu/human-dimensions/. You may also find information about Illinois Department of Natural Resources wildlife management programs and wildlife in Illinois at https://www.dnr.illinois.gov/conservation/wildlife/.

If you have questions regarding this study, please call us at (217) 244-5121.
Thank you for helping with this important study.


Craig A. Miller
Human Dimensions Research Program


Paul B Johnson ©


## Appendix E

IlLinois Natural History Survey
Prairie Research Institute
University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign

Dear Waterfowl Hunter,
Your name was randomly selected from the list of 2021 resident/non-resident State Waterfowl stamp purchasers and Illinois Harvest Information Program (HIP) registrants. We recently mailed you a questionnaire regarding your hunting experiences in Illinois during the 2021-22 waterfowl season. If you have already returned the questionnaire, we thank you.

If you have not returned your completed questionnaire, please do so as soon as possible. We have enclosed another copy for your use. The information you and other selected hunters provide will help waterfowl managers make decisions to improve hunting opportunities and to better manage Illinois' duck and goose populations. Your responses are voluntary and completely confidential. A postage paid envelope is provided for you to return the questionnaire to us.

If you do not wish to participate, please return the blank questionnaire so we can remove your name from our mailing list.

We have included the Illinois waterfowl zone map on the back of this letter if you need it to determine the zones) you hunted. You may access the results of this and other studies of hunters and hunting in Illinois at https://publish.illinois.edu/human-dimensions/. You may also find information about Illinois Department of Natural Resources wildlife management programs and wildlife in Illinois at https://www.dnr.illinois.gov/conservation/wildlife/.

If you have questions regarding this study, please call us at (217) 244-5121.
Thank you for helping with this important study.


Craig A. Miller Human Dimensions Research Program



Paul B Johnson ©

## Waterfowl Zone Lines



Table F-1. Summary of Illinois Migratory Waterfowl Stamps purchased, hunter activity, and waterfowl harvest in Illinois from 1981 through 2021 hunting seasons.

| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Season } \\ & \text { (Year) } \end{aligned}$ | Stamps Purchased | Estimated Hunters | Estimated Days Hunted | Estimated Waterfowl Harvest ${ }^{a}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1981 | 61,929 | 63,652 | 874,730 | 413,264 |
| 1982 | 57,691 | 58,766 | 795,807 | 392,897 |
| 1983 | 56,162 | 58,240 | 815,523 | 475,601 |
| 1984 | 55,250 | 56,533 | 748,390 | 420,357 |
| 1985 | 55,670 | 56,899 | 699,113 | 392,253 |
| 1986 | 59,734 | 61,876 | 887,446 | 467,164 |
| 1987 | 58,803 (5,550) ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 60,371 | 814,918 | 354,194 |
| $1988{ }^{\text {c }}$ | 53,498 (4,350) | 53,450 | 644,056 | 264,316 |
| $1989{ }^{\text {c }}$ | 55,693 (3,570) | 55,709 | 749,033 | 322,359 |
| $1990^{\text {c }}$ | 55,009 (2,390) | 55,152 | 708,391 | 270,796 |
| $1991^{\text {c }}$ | 58,421 (2,130) | 59,038 | 855,279 | 406,854 |
| 1992 | 51,261 (1,395) | 51,274 | 714,550 | 292,535 |
| 1993 | 50,976 (995) | 51,340 | 682,498 | 326,446 |
| 1994 | 57,543 (955) | 53,226 | 816,185 | 332,803 |
| 1995 | 60,564 (665) | 55,454 | 884,328 | 498,854 |
| 1996 | 62,417 (545) | 56,956 | 836,793 | 376,248 |
| 1997 | 59,961 (480) | 54,715 | 881,030 | 401,236 |
| 1998 | 54,550 (450) | 50,288 | 795,561 | 471,072 |
| 1999 | 63,782 (350) | 58,003 | 1,472,301 | 783,195 |
| 2000 | 62,701 (330) | 56,954 | 1,115,076 | 708,092 |
| 2001 | 63,745 (300) | 59,029 | 1,337,297 | 695,790 |
| 2002 | 61,345 (1,520) | 53,428 | 1,054,047 | 504,616 |
| 2003 | 61,991 (260) | 57,985 | 1,251,974 | 650,906 |
| 2004 | 60,264 | 54,803 | 1,083,910 | 494,775 |
| 2005 | 55,734 | 48,772 | 868,299 | 526,221 |
| 2006 | 63,965 | 58,302 | 1,194,801 | 700,571 |
| 2007 | 66,765 | 57,454 | 1,150,304 | 678,623 |
| 2008 | 69,590 | 59,379 | 1,175,243 | 660,306 |
| 2009 | 68,549 | 59,987 | 1,222,980 | 613,335 |
| 2010 | 64,828 | 50,936 | 985,075 | 513,882 |
| 2011 | 66,581 | 52,660 | 1,147,037 | 577,654 |
| 2012 | 64,896 | 50,740 | 1,155,346 | 580,557 |
| 2013 | 66,394 | 49,170 | 1,052,728 | 605,720 |
| 2014 | 70,391 | 50,698 | 982,193 | 550,946 |
| 2015 | 58,247 | 40,104 | 795,289 | 488,321 |
| 2016 | 54,920 | 41,242 | 870,721 | 490,463 |
| 2017 | 52,069 | 37,215 | 732,166 | 421,384 |
| 2018 | 55,303 | 40,047 | 831,043 | 412,402 |
| 2019 | 55,255 | 35,185 | 841,828 | 471,872 |
| 2020 | 52,849 | 39,525 | 837,609 | 406,259 |
| 2021 | 54,044 | 42,839 | 1,000,494 | 543,858 |

${ }^{\text {a }}$ Teal, ducks, coots, and geese combined, and including September teal and Canada goose seasons and youth hunt. The U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service suspended the September Teal season in 1988 through 1991.
${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ Stamps purchased for commercial art purposes. These stamps were not included in the numbers to the left.
${ }^{\text {c }}$ Estimates of waterfowl hunters and days afield for these years reduced to $92.48 \%-96.48 \%$ of the original estimates. Estimates of waterfowl (teal, ducks, coots, and geese combined) harvested reduced to $94.54 \%-97.74$ of original estimates. See Anderson and Williamson (1994) for explanation.

Table F-2. The percentage of regular season waterfowl hunters who hunted exclusively ducks, exclusively geese, or both ducks and geese in Illinois from 1981 through 2021 seasons.

| Season (Year) | Hunted <br> Ducks Only | Hunted <br> Geese Only | Hunted Both <br> Ducks and <br> Geese | Duck <br> Hunters | Goose <br> Hunters |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1981 | $63.0 \%$ | $14.1 \%$ | $22.9 \%$ | $85.9 \%$ | $37.0 \%$ |
| 1982 | $59.1 \%$ | $11.1 \%$ | $29.8 \%$ | $88.9 \%$ | $40.9 \%$ |
| 1983 | $55.0 \%$ | $13.4 \%$ | $31.6 \%$ | $86.6 \%$ | $45.0 \%$ |
| 1984 | $60.3 \%$ | $12.1 \%$ | $27.6 \%$ | $87.9 \%$ | $39.7 \%$ |
| 1985 | $61.1 \%$ | $9.7 \%$ | $29.2 \%$ | $90.3 \%$ | $38.9 \%$ |
| 1986 | $51.0 \%$ | $13.4 \%$ | $35.6 \%$ | $86.6 \%$ | $49.0 \%$ |
| 1987 | $46.6 \%$ | $14.3 \%$ | $39.1 \%$ | $85.7 \%$ | $53.4 \%$ |
| 1988 | $35.5 \%$ | $19.1 \%$ | $45.4 \%$ | $80.9 \%$ | $64.5 \%$ |
| 1989 | $29.2 \%$ | $21.3 \%$ | $49.5 \%$ | $78.7 \%$ | $70.8 \%$ |
| 1990 | $26.7 \%$ | $29.7 \%$ | $43.6 \%$ | $70.3 \%$ | $73.4 \%$ |
| 1991 | $26.0 \%$ | $27.3 \%$ | $46.7 \%$ | $72.7 \%$ | $74.0 \%$ |
| 1992 | $31.3 \%$ | $23.4 \%$ | $45.3 \%$ | $76.6 \%$ | $68.7 \%$ |
| 1993 | $30.9 \%$ | $20.2 \%$ | $48.9 \%$ | $79.8 \%$ | $69.1 \%$ |
| 1994 | $30.3 \%$ | $16.5 \%$ | $53.2 \%$ | $83.5 \%$ | $69.7 \%$ |
| 1995 | $33.2 \%$ | $23.4 \%$ | $43.4 \%$ | $76.6 \%$ | $66.8 \%$ |
| 1996 | $35.8 \%$ | $22.3 \%$ | $41.9 \%$ | $77.7 \%$ | $64.2 \%$ |
| 1997 | $38.8 \%$ | $22.2 \%$ | $39.0 \%$ | $77.8 \%$ | $61.2 \%$ |
| 1998 | $47.6 \%$ | $17.0 \%$ | $35.4 \%$ | $83.0 \%$ | $52.4 \%$ |
| 1999 | $27.2 \%$ | $10.6 \%$ | $62.2 \%$ | $89.4 \%$ | $72.8 \%$ |
| 2000 | $34.0 \%$ | $23.1 \%$ | $42.9 \%$ | $76.9 \%$ | $66.0 \%$ |
| 2001 | $32.0 \%$ | $9.9 \%$ | $57.1 \%$ | $90.1 \%$ | $67.0 \%$ |
| 2002 | $32.8 \%$ | $10.9 \%$ | $56.0 \%$ | $89.8 \%$ | $66.2 \%$ |
| 2003 | $33.0 \%$ | $10 \%$ | $10.2 \%$ | $59.9 \%$ | $87.3 \%$ |

[^7]Table F-3. Summary of teal harvest and hunter activity during September teal season (Illinois, 1981-2021).

| Season (Year) | Estimated Hunters | Estimated Days Hunted | Estimated Teal Harvest |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1981 | 14,802 | 38,586 | 22,946 |
| 1982 | 14,863 | 41,856 | 28,785 |
| 1983 | 13,295 | 39,475 | 29,355 |
| 1984 | 14,158 | 39,481 | 32,730 |
| 1985 | 13,852 | 36,521 | 29,260 |
| 1986 | 15,449 | 40,241 | 30,375 |
| 1987 | 12,297 | 32,582 | 23,193 |
| $1988{ }^{\text {a }}$ | ------ | ------ | ------ |
| $1989{ }^{\text {a }}$ | ------ | ------ | ------ |
| $1990{ }^{\text {a }}$ | ------ | ------ | ------ |
| $1991{ }^{\text {a }}$ | -- | ------ | ------ |
| 1992 | 7,696 | 18,265 | 12,069 |
| 1993 | 6,474 | 16,722 | 8,562 |
| 1994 | 8,062 | 20,341 | 12,436 |
| 1995 | 9,123 | 24,865 | 19,731 |
| 1996 | 8,964 | 22,825 | 11,565 |
| 1997 | 11,819 | 32,179 | 22,005 |
| 1998 | 10,307 | 33,049 | 21,270 |
| 1999 | 20,036 | 74,170 | 55,199 |
| 2000 | 14,733 | 52,229 | 38,597 |
| 2001 | 17,222 | 61,199 | 36,013 |
| 2002 | 10,171 | 29,381 | 12,542 |
| 2003 | 10,522 | 34,505 | 20,453 |
| 2004 | 8,097 | 23,928 | 8,463 |
| 2005 | 6,686 | 17,708 | 10,953 |
| 2006 | 12,378 | 43,223 | 28,016 |
| 2007 | 13,478 | 48,115 | 29,800 |
| 2008 | 14,652 | 52,365 | 19,981 |
| 2009 | 15,436 | 55,139 | $19,222 \pm 7,372$ |
| 2010 | 13,038 | 49,038 | 20,127 $\pm 9,322$ |
| 2011 | 11,221 | 42,811 | $21,227 \pm 7,993$ |
| 2012 | 10,944 | 46,719 | $31,942 \pm 11,740$ |
| 2013 | 10,378 | 37,431 | $21,967 \pm 7,169$ |
| 2014 | 11,282 | 42,635 | $29,058 \pm 10,909$ |
| 2015 | 9,615 | 37,574 | $28,031 \pm 9.911$ |
| 2016 | 8,969 | 38,610 | $25,346 \pm 9,296$ |
| 2017 | 7,526 | 28,306 | $15,062 \pm 5,480$ |
| 2018 | 9,263 | 35,334 | $23,326 \pm 8,817$ |
| 2019 | 7,955 | 32,706 | $19,268 \pm 8,795$ |
| 2020 | 7,975 | 27,962 | $18,967 \pm 8,767$ |
| 2021 | 11,382 | 50,585 | $28,913 \pm 10,142$ |

[^8]Table F-4. Rates of teal harvest and hunter activity during September teal season (Illinois, 1981-2021).

| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Season } \\ & \text { (Year) } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Season Length/ <br> Bag Limit | Days Hunted Per Hunter | Teal Harvest Per Hunter |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Per Day | Per Season |
| 1981 | 9/4 | 2.61 | 0.59 | 1.55 |
| 1982 | 9/4 | 2.82 | 0.69 | 1.94 |
| 1983 | 9/4 | 2.97 | 0.74 | 2.21 |
| 1984 | 9/4 | 2.79 | 0.83 | 2.31 |
| 1985 | 9/4 | 2.64 | 0.80 | 2.11 |
| 1986 | 9/4 | 2.60 | 0.75 | 1.97 |
| 1987 | 9/4 | 2.65 | 0.71 | 1.89 |
| $1988{ }^{\text {a }}$ | ---- | ---- | ---- | ---- |
| $1989{ }^{\text {a }}$ | ---- | ---- | ---- | ---- |
| $1990^{\text {a }}$ | ---- | ---- | ---- | ---- |
| $1991{ }^{\text {a }}$ | ---- | ---- | ---- | ---- |
| 1992 | 9/4 | 2.37 | 0.66 | 1.57 |
| 1993 | 9/4 | 2.58 | 0.51 | 1.32 |
| 1994 | 9/4 | 2.52 | 0.61 | 1.54 |
| 1995 | 9/4 | 2.73 | 0.79 | 2.16 |
| 1996 | 9/4 | 2.55 | 0.51 | 1.29 |
| 1997 | 9/4 | 2.72 | 0.68 | 1.86 |
| 1998 | 16/4 | 3.21 | 0.64 | 2.06 |
| 1999 | 16/4 | 3.70 | 0.74 | 2.75 |
| 2000 | 16/4 | 3.55 | 0.74 | 2.62 |
| 2001 | 16/4 | 3.55 | 0.59 | 2.09 |
| 2002 | 9/4 | 2.89 | 0.43 | 1.23 |
| 2003 | 16/4 | 3.28 | 0.59 | 1.94 |
| 2004 | 9/4 | 2.96 | 0.35 | 1.05 |
| 2005 | 9/4 | 2.65 | 0.62 | 1.64 |
| 2006 | 16/4 | 3.49 | 0.65 | 2.26 |
| 2007 | 16/4 | 3.60 | 0.62 | 2.21 |
| 2008 | 16/4 | 3.57 | 0.38 | 1.36 |
| 2009 | 16/4 | 3.57 | 0.35 | 1.25 |
| 2010 | 16/4 | 3.76 | 0.41 | 1.54 |
| 2011 | 16/4 | 3.82 | 0.50 | 1.90 |
| 2012 | 16/4 | 4.27 | 0.68 | 2.92 |
| 2013 | 16/6 | 3.61 | 0.59 | 2.12 |
| 2014 | 16/6 | 3.78 | 0.68 | 2.58 |
| 2015 | 16/6 | 3.91 | 0.75 | 2.92 |
| 2016 | 16/6 | 4.31 | 0.66 | 2.83 |
| 2017 | 16/6 | 3.76 | 0.53 | 2.00 |
| 2018 | 16/6 | 3.81 | 0.66 | 2.52 |
| 2019 | 16/6 | 4.11 | 0.59 | 2.42 |
| 2020 | 16/6 | 3.51 | 0.68 | 2.38 |
| 2021 | 16/6 | 4.44 | 0.57 | 2.54 |

[^9]Table F-5. Waterfowl harvest and hunter activity during Youth Waterfowl Hunting Days, 1996-2021.

| $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Season }^{\mathrm{a}} \\ \text { (Year) } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Adult <br> Participation | Youth Participation | Days <br> Hunting | Mean Youths/ Hunting Party | Total Ducks | Ducks/ <br> Youth/Day | Total Coots | Coots/ <br> Youth/ Day | Total Geese | $\begin{gathered} \text { Geese/ } \\ \text { Youth/ Day } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1996 | 2,749 | 4,353 | 4,353 | 1.58 | 3,171 | 0.73 | 230 | 0.05 | ---- ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | --- |
| 1997 | 3,163 | 4,322 | 4,322 | 1.37 | 3,451 | 0.80 | 387 | 0.09 | ---- ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | --- |
| 1998 | 3,343 | 5,142 | 5,142 | 1.54 | 4,159 | 0.81 | 208 | 0.04 | 289 | 0.06 |
| 1999 | 5,505 | 8,113 | 8,113 | 1.47 | 5,835 | 0.72 | 629 | 0.08 | 571 | 0.07 |
| 2000 | 6,815 | 10,107 | 14,079 | 1.48 | 8,388 | 0.60 | 38 | $<0.01$ | 882 | 0.06 |
| 2001 | 9,140 | 15,148 | 22,525 | 1.67 | 11,727 | 0.52 | 480 | 0.02 | 971 | 0.04 |
| 2002 | 8,498 | 13,325 | 19,548 | 1.57 | 9,085 | 0.46 | 271 | 0.01 | 887 | 0.05 |
| 2003 | 7,415 | 11,419 | 17,985 | 1.54 | 9,184 | 0.51 | 178 | 0.01 | 1,116 | 0.06 |
| 2004 | 5,603 | 7,891 | 12,997 | 1.41 | 7,477 | 0.58 | 48 | <0.01 | 561 | 0.04 |
| 2005 | 4,540 | 6,489 | 10,268 | 1.58 | 5,644 | 0.55 | 583 | 0.06 | 965 | 0.09 |
| 2006 | 5,447 | 8,024 | 11,903 | 1.48 | 9,863 | 0.83 | 133 | 0.01 | 732 | 0.06 |
| 2007 | 6,259 | 8,981 | 14,356 | 1.60 | 9,141 | 0.64 | 850 | 0.06 | 1,701 | 0.12 |
| 2008 | 6,402 | 9,878 | 14,799 | 1.50 | 10,380 | 0.70 | 241 | 0.02 | 1,466 | 0.10 |
| 2009 | 7,073 | 9,772 | 15,922 | 1.63 | 11,229 | 0.71 | 599 | 0.04 | 2,396 | 0.15 |
| 2010 | 5,471 | 7,452 | 11,828 | 1.59 | 9,156 | 0.77 | 419 | 0.04 | 1,420 | 0.12 |
| 2011 | 6,325 | 8,642 | 14,059 | 1.63 | 9,569 | 0.68 | 1,333 | 0.09 | 1,318 | 0.09 |
| 2012 | 7,825 | 10,001 | $52,448{ }^{\text {b }}$ | 1.27 | 8,147 ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | 0.41 | $503{ }^{\text {c }}$ | 0.03 | 1,064 ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | 0.05 |
| 2013 | 8,438 | 8,639 | 19,136 | 1.02 | 12,715 | 1.33 | 359 | 0.04 | 2,065 | 0.23 |
| 2014 | 6,405 | 8,572 | 13,798 | 1.33 | 9,004 | 1.30 | 192 | 0.03 | 929 | 0.14 |
| 2015 | 4,718 | 6,291 | 9,873 | 1.33 | 8,171 | 1.65 | 117 | 0.02 | 571 | 0.12 |
| 2016 | 4,398 | 5,921 | 8,553 | 1.34 | 6,731 | 1.57 | 139 | 0.03 | 927 | 0.23 |
| 2017 | 4,780 | 6,459 | 9,956 | 1.35 | 8,283 | 1.66 | 89 | 0.02 | 1,464 | 0.32 |
| 2018 | 4,822 | 5,775 | 8,663 | 1.20 | 7,452 | 1.72 | 205 | 0.05 | 729 | 0.18 |
| 2019 | 4,039 | 4,528 | 10,158 | 1.31 | 5,748 | 1.13 | 107 | 0.02 | 790 | 0.16 |
| 2020 | 5,029 | 6,950 | 14,926 | 1.38 | 7,799 | 1.04 | 48 | 0.01 | 1,118 | 0.16 |
| 2021 | 5,410 | 6,859 | 13,156 | 1.27 | 9,820 | 1.49 | 0 | 0.00 | 1,738 | 0.28 |

1996 - 1999 were one day seasons and 2000 - present were 2-day seasons.
${ }^{\text {a Could not hunt geese during the Youth Waterfowl Hunting Day in } 1996 \text { and } 1997 . . . . . ~ . ~}$
${ }^{\text {b R Results include youth hunts during the regular season and the 2-day Youth Waterfowl Hunting Days. }}$
${ }^{\text {c }}$ Results are a 2-day estimate based on the mean number harvested by youth from the entire season

Table F-6. Summary of duck and coot harvest and hunter activity during the regular duck season (Illinois 19812021).

| Season (Year) | Hunters | Days Afield | Number of Ducks |  |  |  | Coots |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Mallards | Wood Ducks | Other Ducks ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | Total |  |
| 1981 | 54,744 | 703,534 | 170,972 | 72,065 | 94,947 | 337,984 | 4,950 |
| 1982 | 52,220 | 646,394 | 163,439 | 61,706 | 101,989 | 327,134 | 5,905 |
| 1983 | 50,440 | 651,409 | 220,317 | 72,237 | 110,862 | 403,416 | 10,472 |
| 1984 | 49,715 | 606,325 | 182,132 | 52,955 | 120,016 | 355,103 | 7,702 |
| 1985 | 51,362 | 556,800 | 168,549 | 51,216 | 97,155 | 316,920 | 5,773 |
| 1986 | 53,588 | 638,090 | 201,676 | 65,414 | 112,490 | 379,580 | 7,372 |
| 1987 | 51,704 | 558,172 | 155,783 | 58,488 | 74,748 | 289,019 | 2,694 |
| $1988{ }^{\text {a }}$ | 43,233 | 381,985 | 119,149 | 23,743 | 42,836 | 185,728 | 1,936 |
| $1989{ }^{\text {a }}$ | 43,841 | 407,478 | 133,128 | 28,065 | 63,073 | 224,266 | 2,049 |
| $1990^{\text {a }}$ | 38,759 | 350,119 | 112,370 | 33,253 | 51,562 | 197,185 | 2,287 |
| $1991{ }^{\text {a }}$ | 42,911 | 393,247 | 177,221 | 49,556 | 80,793 | 307,570 | 1,101 |
| 1992 | 39,272 | 362,275 | 124,112 | 34,280 | 58,035 | 216,427 | 3,275 |
| 1993 | 40,941 | 366,656 | 134,334 | 39,906 | 43,360 | 217,600 | 1,445 |
| 1994 | 44,447 | 475,264 | 137,263 | 44,683 | 64,998 (3,760) | 246,944 | 3,880 |
| 1995 | 42,499 | 482,620 | 230,505 | 47,155 | 99,632 (5,393) | 377,292 | 3,386 |
| 1996 | 44,219 | 460,517 | 163,311 | 38,783 | 82,431 (4,348) | 284,525 | 3,286 |
| 1997 | 42,587 | 514,934 | 145,533 | 44,678 | 100,950 (5,800) | 291,161 | 3,935 |
| 1998 | 41,755 | 517,372 | 200,030 | 57,393 | 129,439 (3,948) | 386,862 | 2,920 |
| 1999 | 51,850 | 860,368 | 311,325 | 69,930 | 181,650 (4,977) | 562,905 | 3,654 |
| 2000 | 43,810 | 621,542 | 271,903 | 58,604 | 166,834 (4,231) | 497,341 | 2,206 |
| 2001 | 53,194 | 797,884 | 305,180 | 61,515 | 167,883 (1,968) | 534,578 | 2,904 |
| 2002 | 47,964 | 642,542 | 197,392 | 46,238 | 106,213 (851) | 349,843 | 1,743 |
| 2003 | 50,658 | 738,914 | 285,011 | 48,023 | 153,165 (1,789) | 486,199 | 1,693 |
| 2004 | 49,046 | 652,960 | 207,982 | 44,725 | 116,951 (2,100) | 369,658 | 1,607 |
| 2005 | 43,185 | 539,672 | 240,897 | 37,942 | 133,509 (3,918) | 412,348 | 2,186 |
| 2006 | 50,437 | 658,881 | 308,000 | 38,366 | 161,098 (5,927) | 507,464 | 3,065 |
| 2007 | 49,114 | 600,614 | 265,369 | 34,628 | 164,369 (5,925) | 464,366 | 3,771 |
| 2008 | 50,683 | 600,574 | 247,895 | 43,051 | 156,849 | 447,795 | 2,266 |
| 2009 | 49,648 | 626,832 | 228,211 | 41,549 | 129,795 | 399,555 $\pm 69,698$ | 3,904 $\pm 3,342$ |
| 2010 | 43,450 | 499,758 | 193,758 | 39,611 | 121,375 | $354,859 \pm 60,571$ | $1,770 \pm 2,435$ |
| 2011 | 46,619 | 632,712 | 222,405 | 54,294 | 150,786 | $427,484 \pm 66,551$ | $4,327 \pm 2,663$ |
| 2012 | 43,444 | 630,233 | 244,988 | 47,623 | 185,776 | $478,387 \pm 50,294$ | $4,133 \pm 3,536$ |
| 2013 | 43,653 | 563,961 | 225,873 | 49,001 | 155,306 | $430,179 \pm 29,431$ | $2,143 \pm 4,031$ |
| 2014 | 44,019 | 525,114 | 197,997 | 48,216 | 138,615 (4,820) | $384,828 \pm 39,741$ | $4,681 \pm 3,311$ |
| 2015 | 36,499 | 496,656 | 166,506 | 43,655 | 119,619 (4,437) | $329,780 \pm 34,835$ | $3,185 \pm 1,960$ |
| 2016 | 34,386 | 459,029 | 154,698 | 47,986 | 130,722 (6,794) | $333,406 \pm 37,408$ | $4,424 \pm 1,338$ |
| 2017 | 30,025 | 394,034 | 136,381 | 29,372 | 111,937 (3,624) | $277,689 \pm 24,826$ | $1,544 \pm 921$ |
| 2018 | 33,836 | 464,327 | 147,733 | 29,003 | 96,087 (5,200) | $272,823 \pm 28,512$ | $2,088 \pm 1,764$ |
| 2019 | 31,850 | 482,946 | 164,043 | 51,564 | 108,340 (4,798) | $323,946 \pm 31,987$ | $2,238 \pm 1,799$ |
| 2020 | 34,432 | 462,541 | 127,525 | 35,335 | 91,820 (3,739) | $254,680 \pm 26,546$ | 1,701 $\pm 1,224$ |
| 2021 | 37,399 | 532,635 | 175,565 | 42,851 | 142,135 (4,118) | $360,698 \pm 36,223$ | $3,688 \pm 3,718$ |

${ }^{\text {a }}$ Estimates of duck hunters, days afield, ducks and coots harvested for these years have been reduced to $92.48 \%-96.48 \%$ of the original estimates. See Anderson and Williamson (1994) for explanation.
${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ Numbers in parentheses represent harvest of Canvasback.

Table F-7. Rates of duck harvest and hunter activity during the regular duck season (Illinois 1981-2021).

| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Season } \\ & \text { (Year) } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Season Length/ Bag Limit ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | Days Afield Per Hunter | Duck Harvest Per Hunter ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Per Day | Per Season |
| 1981 | 50/10(4,2) | 12.85 | 0.48 | 6.17 |
| 1982 | 50/10(4,2) | 12.38 | 0.51 | 6.26 |
| 1983 | 50/10(4,2) | 12.91 | 0.62 | 8.00 |
| 1984 | 50/10(4,2) | 12.20 | 0.59 | 7.14 |
| 1985 | 40/5 $(3,1)$ | 10.84 | 0.57 | 6.17 |
| 1986 | 40/5 $(3,1)$ | 11.91 | 0.59 | 7.08 |
| 1987 | 40/5 $(3,1)$ | 10.80 | 0.52 | 5.59 |
| 1988 | 30/3(2,1) | 8.84 | 0.49 | 4.30 |
| 1989 | 30/3(2,1) | 9.29 | 0.55 | 5.12 |
| 1990 | 30/3(2,1) | 9.03 | 0.54 | 4.90 |
| 1991 | 30/3(2,1) | 9.16 | 0.72 | 6.57 |
| 1992 | 30/3(2,1) | 9.22 | 0.57 | 5.22 |
| 1993 | 30/3(2,1) | 8.96 | 0.58 | 5.21 |
| 1994 | 40/3(2,1) | 10.96 | 0.51 | 5.47 |
| 1995 | 50/5(4,1) | 11.36 | 0.74 | 8.40 |
| 1996 | 50/5(4,1) | 10.41 | 0.58 | 6.03 |
| 1997 | 60/6(4,2) | 12.09 | 0.57 | 6.84 |
| 1998 | 60/6(4,2) | 12.39 | 0.75 | 9.27 |
| 1999 | 60/6(4,2) | 16.59 | 0.65 | 10.86 |
| 2000 | 60/6(4,2) | 14.19 | 0.80 | 11.36 |
| 2001 | 60/6(4,2) | 15.00 | 0.67 | 10.05 |
| 2002 | 60/6(4,1) | 13.40 | 0.54 | 7.29 |
| 2003 | 60/6(4,1) | 14.59 | 0.66 | 9.60 |
| 2004 | 60/6(4,2) | 13.31 | 0.57 | 7.54 |
| 2005 | 60/6(4,2) | 12.50 | 0.76 | 9.55 |
| 2006 | 60/6(4,2) | 13.06 | 0.77 | 10.06 |
| 2007 | 60/6(4,2) | 12.23 | 0.77 | 9.45 |
| 2008 | 60/6(4,2) | 11.85 | 0.75 | 8.84 |
| 2009 | 60/6(4,2) | 12.63 | 0.64 | 8.05 |
| 2010 | 60/6(4,2) | 11.50 | 0.71 | 8.17 |
| 2011 | 60/6(4,2) | 13.57 | 0.68 | 9.17 |
| 2012 | 60/6(4,2) | 14.51 | 0.76 | 11.01 |
| 2013 | 60/6(4,2) | 12.92 | 0.76 | 9.85 |
| 2014 | 60/6(4,2) | 11.93 | 0.73 | 8.74 |
| 2015 | 60/6(4,2) | 13.61 | 0.66 | 9.01 |
| 2016 | 60/6(4,2) | 13.35 | 0.73 | 9.70 |
| 2017 | 60/6(4,2) | 13.12 | 0.70 | 9.25 |
| 2018 | 60/6(4,2) | 13.72 | 0.59 | 8.06 |
| 2019 | 60/6(4,2) | 15.16 | 0.67 | 10.17 |
| 2020 | 60/6(4,2) | 13.43 | 0.55 | 7.40 |
| 2021 | 60/6(4,2) | 14.24 | 0.68 | 9.64 |

[^10]Table F-8. Number of hunters who participated in the early September Canada goose season (Illinois 1997-2021).

|  | Year | Statewide | Waterfowl Zone |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | North | Central | $\begin{gathered} \text { South } \\ \text { Central } \end{gathered}$ | South | Unknown |
| Hunters ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 1997 | 11,765 | 5,577 | 5,768 | ----- | ----- | 420 |
|  | 1998 | 11,981 | 4,837 | 5,915 | ----- | 677 | 552 |
|  | 1999 | 16,945 | 6,005 | 9,869 | ----- | 693 | 378 |
|  | 2000 | 13,289 | 5,410 | 6,908 | ----- | 971 | 0 |
|  | 2001 | 20,359 | 7,318 | 10,807 | ----- | 2,085 | 149 |
|  | 2002 | 12,459 | 4,517 | 6,665 | ----- | 1,135 | 142 |
|  | 2003 | 14,973 | 5,532 | 7,761 | ----- | 1,348 | 332 |
|  | 2004 | 11,170 | 4,250 | 6,220 | ----- | 984 | 0 |
|  | 2005 | 9,448 | 3,949 | 5,034 | ----- | 1,085 | 0 |
|  | 2006 | 12,609 | 4,848 | 6,607 | ----- | 1,154 | 0 |
|  | 2007 | 12,788 | 4,723 | 6,413 | ----- | 1,652 | 0 |
|  | 2008 | 13,157 | 4,934 | 6,690 | ----- | 1,533 | 0 |
|  | 2009 | 15,102 | 5,232 | 8,089 | ----- | 1,781 | 0 |
|  | 2010 | 11,015 | 3,918 | 5,813 | ----- | 1,285 | 0 |
|  | 2011 | 14,214 | 4,625 | 7,889 | ----- | 1,700 | 0 |
|  | 2012 | 11,192 ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 4,601 | 5,928 | 1,161 | 249 | 0 |
|  | 2013 | 10,865 ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 3,646 | 6,076 | 681 | 462 | 0 |
|  | 2014 | $12,147^{\text {a }}$ | 4,153 | 6,679 | 934 | 554 | 0 |
|  | 2015 | 10,659 ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 3,226 | 6,104 | 1,075 | 443 | 0 |
|  | 2016 | 9,973 ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 3,324 | 5,125 | 1,316 | 381 | 0 |
|  | 2017 | 9,225 | 2,746 | 5,472 | 849 | 296 | 0 |
|  | 2018 | 9,916 | 3,187 | 5,503 | 899 | 599 | 0 |
|  | 2019 | 8,658 | 3,855 | 4,161 | 490 | 245 | 0 |
|  | 2020 | 9,064 | 3,747 | 4,036 | 1,025 | 352 | 0 |
|  | 2021 | 11,855 | 4,523 | 5,972 | 1,064 | 384 | 0 |

${ }^{\text {a }}$ Less than the sum of hunters in individual zones because some hunters hunted more than 1 zone.

Table F-9. Number of Canada geese harvested during the early September Canada goose season (Illinois 1997-2021).

|  | Year | Statewide | North | Central | South <br> Central | South | Unknown |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Canada Geese | 1997 | 11,443 | 7,621 | 3,774 | ---- | ---- | 48 |
|  | 1998 | 7,852 | 4,184 | 3,046 | ---- | 384 | 238 |
|  | 1999 | 20,223 | 9,124 | 10,491 | ---- | 491 | 117 |
|  | 2000 | 15,897 | 6,191 | 8,774 | ---- | 932 | 0 |
|  | 2001 | 26,021 | 10,979 | 13,170 | ---- | 1,580 | 290 |
|  | 2002 | 21,534 | 8,971 | 11,130 | ---- | 1,433 | 0 |
|  | 2003 | 15,267 | 5,907 | 7,103 | ---- | 2,221 | 36 |
|  | 2004 | 13,587 | 6,319 | 5,915 | ---- | 767 | 0 |
|  | 2005 | 9,896 | 4,862 | 4,047 | ---- | 987 | 0 |
|  | 2006 | 14,578 | 6,771 | 6,717 | ---- | 1,090 | 0 |
|  | 2007 | 16,207 | 6,057 | 8,645 | ---- | 1,505 | 0 |
|  | 2008 | 17,419 | 7,343 | 8,951 | ---- | 1,125 | 0 |
|  | 2009 | 16,212 | 6,101 | 8,336 | ---- | 1,774 | 0 |
| 2010 | 17,115 | 7,967 | 7,859 | ---- | 1,289 | 0 |  |
|  | 2011 | 18,790 | 6,339 | 10,874 | ---- | 1,577 | 0 |
|  | 2012 | 18,028 | 8,557 | 7,664 | 1,599 | 228 | 0 |
|  | 2013 | 15,644 | 5,165 | 9,271 | 523 | 685 | 0 |
|  | 2014 | 19,089 | 7,527 | 9,015 | 1,770 | 777 | 0 |
|  | 2015 | 15,693 | 4,233 | 8,587 | 2,147 | 726 | 0 |
| 2016 | 17,711 | 7,895 | 7,780 | 1,539 | 497 | 0 |  |
| 2017 | 16,155 | 4,154 | 10,282 | 1,199 | 510 | 20 |  |
| 2018 | 13,165 | 4,909 | 6,928 | 925 | 404 | 0 |  |
| 2019 | 15,429 | 7,912 | 6,932 | 249 | 336 | 0 |  |
| 2020 | 16,811 | 8,421 | 7,165 | 750 | 475 | 0 |  |
| 2021 | 22,258 | 8,140 | 11,503 | 2,205 | 410 | 0 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table F-10. Number of days afield during the early September Canada goose season (Illinois 1997-2021).

|  | Year | Statewide | North | Central | South <br> Central | South | Unknown |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Days Afield | 1997 | 34,988 | 17,991 | 15,890 | ---- | ---- | 1,107 |
|  | 1998 | 37,322 | 15,891 | 18,247 | ---- | 1,880 | 1,304 |
|  | 1999 | 64,881 | 21,795 | 39,768 | ---- | 2,625 | 693 |
|  | 2000 | 47,831 | 17,396 | 27,078 | ---- | 3,357 | 0 |
|  | 2001 | 73,587 | 26,359 | 40,208 | ---- | 6,318 | 702 |
|  | 2002 | 39,485 | 14,303 | 21,049 | ---- | 4,092 | 41 |
|  | 2003 | 51,083 | 18,799 | 26,532 | ---- | 5,422 | 330 |
|  | 2004 | 37,941 | 14,279 | 19,670 | ---- | 2,592 | 0 |
|  | 2005 | 29,143 | 12,184 | 14,352 | ---- | 2,607 | 0 |
|  | 2006 | 42,444 | 16,735 | 22,621 | ---- | 3,088 | 0 |
|  | 2007 | 41,549 | 14,169 | 22,080 | ---- | 5,300 | 0 |
|  | 2008 | 45,637 | 17,305 | 23,174 | ---- | 5,158 | 0 |
|  | 2009 | 51,318 | 19,591 | 26,048 | ---- | 5,678 | 0 |
|  | 2010 | 39,019 | 15,929 | 19,236 | ---- | 3,854 | 0 |
|  | 2011 | 49,306 | 16,832 | 27,441 | ---- | 5,033 | 0 |
|  | 2012 | 39,589 | 17,079 | 18,613 | 3,524 | 373 | 0 |
|  | 2013 | 40,955 | 12,323 | 24,816 | 2,042 | 1,774 | 0 |
|  | 2014 | 44,919 | 16,300 | 23,844 | 3,288 | 1,488 | 0 |
|  | 2015 | 38,744 | 13,505 | 21,191 | 2,404 | 1,645 | 0 |
|  | 2016 | 41,935 | 14,925 | 20,950 | 4,883 | 1,177 | 0 |
| 2017 | 33,817 | 9,442 | 19,714 | 3,595 | 1,067 | 0 |  |
| 2018 | 35,361 | 12,777 | 18,035 | 2,779 | 1,771 | 0 |  |
| 2019 | 33,410 | 15,634 | 15,695 | 1,316 | 765 | 0 |  |
| 2020 | 34,272 | 15,054 | 14,638 | 3,491 | 1,089 | 0 |  |
| 2021 | 50,585 | 19,838 | 25,307 | 4,435 | 1,005 | 0 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |  |

Table F-11. Summary of goose harvest and hunter activity during the regular goose season (Illinois 1981-2021).

| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Season } \\ & \text { (Year) } \end{aligned}$ | Hunters | Days Afield | Number of Geese |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Canada Geese | Other Geese | Total |
| 1981 | 23,610 | 132,610 | 44,302 (6,312 ${ }^{\text {a }}$ ) | 3,082 (1,719 ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 47,384 (8,031 ${ }^{\text {a }}$ ) |
| 1982 | 24,058 | 107,557 | 29,574 (4,968) | 1,499 (710) | 31,073 (5,678) |
| 1983 | 26,199 | 124,639 | 31,395 (4,325) | 962 (577) | 32,357 (4,902) |
| 1984 | 22,426 | 102,583 | 23,147 (2,859) | 1,675 (593) | $24,822(3,452)$ |
| 1985 | 22,160 | 105,792 | 37,976 (5,248) | 2,324 (753) | 40,300 (6,001) |
| 1986 | 30,327 | 200,291 | 45,535 (11,348) | 2,625 (832) | 48,160 (12,180) |
| 1987 | 32,246 | 224,164 | 36,103 $(3,563)$ | 1,525 (499) | 37,628 (4,062) |
| $1988{ }^{\text {a }}$ | 34,456 | 251,176 | $72,550(3,871)$ | 1,832 (350) | 74,382 (4,221) |
| $1989{ }^{\text {a }}$ | 39,459 | 329,369 | 91,379 (2,988) | 1,715 (182) | 93,094 (3,170) |
| $1990^{\text {a }}$ | 40,459 | 346,036 | 67,127 (1,515) | 1,319 (97) | 68,446 (1,612) |
| $1991{ }^{\text {a }}$ | 43,692 | 450,807 | 92,239 (1,245) | 2,434 (70) | 94,673 (1,315) |
| 1992 | 35,253 | 334,010 | 59,352 (2,679) | 1,412 (170) | 60,764 ( 2,849 ) |
| 1993 | 35,489 | 299,120 | 93,361 (1,260) | 1,314 (82) | 94,675 (1,342) |
| 1994 | 37,090 | 320,580 | 67,790 (1,895) | 1,753 (77) | 69,543 (1,972) |
| 1995 | 37,060 | 367,341 | 92,478 (4,034) | 3,183 (245) | 95,661 (4,279) |
| 1996 | 36,582 | 339,253 | 65,864 (2,527) | 4,939 (114) | 70,803 (2,641) |
| 1997 | 33,498 | 295,107 | 61,282 (4,772) | 7,572 (438) | 68,854 (5,210) |
| 1998 | 26,343 | 202,676 | 43,222 (2,463) | 4,290 (305) | 47,512 (2,968) |
| 1999 | 42,246 | 464,769 | 119,611 (1,846) | 14,568 (152) | 134,179 (1,998) |
| 2000 | 37,593 | 383,367 | 128,387 (1,406) | 16,356 (0) | 144,743 (1,406) |
| 2001 | 39,570 | 382,102 | 64,907 $(1,761)$ | 18,189 (263) | 83,096 (2,024) |
| 2002 | 35,352 | 323,091 | 89,297 $(3,259)$ | 19,414 (1,433) | 108,711 (4,692) |
| 2003 | 39,275 | 409,487 | 83,207 $(1,526)$ | 10,458 ${ }^{\text {b (342) }}$ | $93,665^{\text {b }}(1,868)$ |
| 2004 | 37,189 | 345,279 | 81,859 (3,418) | 8,231 (349) | 90,090 (3,767) |
| 2005 | 30,614 | 271,708 | 74,293 (1,653) | 9,353 (62) | 83,646 (1,715) |
| 2006 | 41,521 | 438,350 | 122,294 (1,338) | 14,426 (869) | 136,720 (2,207) |
| 2007 | 43,046 | 445,670 | 141,205 (404) | 11,582 (55) | 152,787 (459) |
| 2008 | 44,404 | 461,868 | 142,806 (590) | 17,956 (0) | 160,762 (590) |
| 2009 | 44,601 | 473,769 | 142,836 (585) | 17,382 (355) | 160,218 $\pm 36,569$ (940) |
| 2010 | 36,803 | 385,432 | 99,422 (534) | 9,594 (46) | $109,016 \pm 22,523$ (580) |
| 2011 | 36,996 | 411,380 | 75,061(618) | 19,862 (33) | $94,923 \pm 22,387$ (651) |
| 2012 | 34,034 | 386,356 | 72,682 (0) | 19,597 (0) | $92,280 \pm 19,570$ (0) |
| 2013 | 33,809 | 391,246 | 104,887 (0) | 15,859 (0) | $120,746 \pm 12,775$ (0) |
| 2014 | 34,226 | 369,179 | 87,672 (50) | 20,313 (0) | $107,985 \pm 15,517$ (50) |
| 2015 | 31,280 | 330,482 | 75,198 | 27,576 | $102,774 \pm 17,608$ (0) |
| 2016 | 26,490 | 312,725 | 77,216 (0) | 24,563 (0) | 101,779 (0) $\pm 18,215$ |
| 2017 | 24,039 | 276,009 | 78,850 (0) | 27,637 (0) | 106,486 (0) $\pm 14,607$ |
| 2018 | 25,363 | 296,021 | 71,035 (0) | 21,578 (0) | 92,613 (0) $\pm 16,720$ |
| 2019 | 23,222 | 292,766 | 85,188 (0) | 19,158 (0) | 104,346 (0) $\pm 23,241$ |
| 2020 | 26,008 | 312,834 | 94,510 (0) | 10,625 (0) | $105,135(0) \pm 18,356$ |
| 2021 | 29,180 | 366,689 | 99,276 (0) | 17,467 (0) | 116,743 (0) $\pm 18,853$ |

[^11]Table F-12. Summary of the number of ducks and geese crippled (Illinois 1981-2021 seasons).

| Season <br> (Year) | Estimated Ducks |  | Estimated Geese |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total | Per 100 Bagged | Total | Per 100 Bagged |
| 1981 | 104,216 | 30.8 | 12,573 | 26.5 |
| 1982 | 82,287 | 25.2 | 5,868 | 18.9 |
| 1983 | 96,907 | 24.0 | 7,627 | 23.6 |
| 1984 | 84,665 | 23.8 | 5,711 | 23.0 |
| 1985 | 100,191 | 31.6 | 15,918 | 39.5 |
| 1986 | 145,283 | 38.3 | 20,699 | 41.5 |
| 1987 | 98,155 | 34.0 | 18,375 | 48.8 |
| 1988 | 65,856 | 35.5 | 22,730 | 30.6 |
| 1989 | 66,150 | 29.5 | 21,696 | 23.3 |
| $1990{ }^{\text {a }}$ | 59,007 | 29.9 | 23,895 | 34.9 |
| $1991{ }^{\text {a }}$ | 74,932 | 24.4 | 27,164 | 28.7 |
| 1992 | 68,027 | 31.4 | 18,631 | 32.1 |
| 1993 | 62,250 | 28.6 | 21,067 | 22.3 |
| 1994 | 65,266 | 26.4 | 16,234 | 23.3 |
| 1995 | 86,834 | 23.0 | 18,391 | 19.2 |
| 1996 | 64,324 | 22.6 | 16,641 | 23.5 |
| 1997 | 67,979 | 23.3 | 12,490 | 18.1 |
| 1998 | 74,679 | 19.3 | 5,514 | 11.6 |
| 1999 | 95,961 | 17.0 | 12,934 | 9.6 |
| 2000 | 70,423 | 14.2 | 10,071 | 7.0 |
| 2001 | 88,019 | 16.5 | 7,148 | 8.6 |
| 2002 | 59,005 | 16.9 | 6,382 | 5.9 |
| 2003 | 77,361 | 15.9 | 12,661 | 10.8 |
| 2004 | 63,765 | 17.2 | 9,433 | 10.5 |
| 2005 | 68,121 | 16.5 | 7,666 | 9.2 |
| 2006 | 83,648 | 16.5 | 14,110 | 10.3 |
| 2007 | 77,914 | 16.8 | 16,627 | 10.9 |
| 2008 | 74,044 | 16.5 | 14,166 | 8.8 |
| 2009 | 67,718 | 16.9 | 12,245 | 7.6 |
| 2010 | 57,388 | 16.2 | 9,217 | 8.5 |
| 2011 | 64,268 | 15.0 | 6,937 | 7.3 |
| 2012 | 71,054* | 14.9* | 10,452* | 11.3* |
| 2013 | 59,064 | 13.7 | 8,847 | 7.3 |
| 2014 | 51,909 | 13.5 | 7,856 | 7.3 |
| 2015 | 47,442 | 14.4 | 7,622 | 7.4 |
| 2016 | 43,666 | 13.1 | 6,149 | 5.6 |
| 2017 | 37,491 | 13.5 | 6,657 | 6.3 |
| 2018 | 36,996 | 13.6 | 5,558 | 6.0 |
| 2019 | 40,630 | 12.5 | 5,783 | 5.5 |
| 2020 | 33,984 | 13.3 | 7,495 | 7.1 |
| 2021 | 44,731 | 12.4 | 6,859 | 5.9 |

${ }^{a}$ The estimates of ducks and geese crippled for these years have been reduced to $92.48 \%-96.48 \%$ of the original estimates. The estimates for the number of geese crippled per 100 bagged have been similarly reduced. See Anderson and Williamson (1994) for explanation.
*Amended from 2012-13 report.

Appendix G


## Appendix H





[^0]:    ${ }^{\text {a }}$ 1981-2021 information can be located in Appendix F.
    ${ }^{\text {b }}$ 2009-2010 numbers changed to reflect responses in the sample.

[^1]:    ${ }^{a}$ The number of individual teal hunters in the state is less than the sum of duck hunters from the categories above because some hunted in more than one zone.

[^2]:    ${ }^{\text {a }} 1996$ - 2021 information can be located in Appendix F.
    ${ }^{\text {b }}$ Results include youth hunts during the regular season and the 2-day Youth Waterfowl Hunting Days.
    ${ }^{\text {c }}$ Results are a 2-day estimate based on the mean number harvested by youth from the entire season

[^3]:    ${ }^{\text {a }}$ The number of individual duck hunters in the state is less than the sum of duck hunters from the categories above because some hunted in more than one zone.

[^4]:    *Number of ducks harvested was calculated by taking responses and applying the correction factor (Anderson 1985).

[^5]:    ${ }^{\text {a }}$ 1981-2021 information can be located in Appendix F.
    ${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ Less than the sum of hunters in individual zones because some hunters hunted more than 1 zone.

[^6]:    ${ }^{\mathrm{a}} 1=$ Very Dissatisfied, 5= Very Satisfied
    *Cases selected for those who hunted at least 1 day during the 2021-22 regular duck season.

[^7]:    ${ }^{\text {a }} 2008-2010$ numbers changed to reflect responses in the sample.

[^8]:    ${ }^{\text {a }}$ September teal season was suspended by the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service during these years.

[^9]:    ${ }^{\text {a }}$ September teal season was suspended by the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service during these years.

[^10]:    ${ }^{\text {a }}$ Excludes ducks harvested coincidentally while goose hunting.
    ${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ The Point System was used in 1981-1987 (Havera 1999: 17-18). A maximum of 10 ducks ( 4 mallards, 2 hens) was allowed in 1981-1984, and a maximum of 5 ducks (3 Mallards, 1 hen) was allowed in 1985-1987.

[^11]:    ${ }^{\mathrm{a}}$ The estimates of goose hunters and days hunted for these years have been reduced to $92.48 \%-96.48 \%$ of the original estimates. The estimates for geese harvested have not been reduced (Anderson and Williamson 1994).
    ${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ Reduced by 23,151 from estimate given in 2002 report to exclude Conservation Order snow goose harvest.

